REVIEW ARTICLE



Combining *Milpa* and Push-Pull Technology for sustainable food production in smallholder agriculture. A review

Felipe Librán-Embid¹ · Adewole Olagoke¹ · Emily A. Martin¹

Accepted: 31 May 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Achieving food security remains a pressing challenge for small-scale farmers, especially in sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America. Ongoing climate change, invasive noxious weeds, and crop pests further exacerbate the situation. Optimizing traditional cropping systems for sustainable yields and climate-resilient production is imperative in order to address this challenge. The pre-Columbian *milpa* system of intercropping maize with companion crops such as beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and squash (*Cucurbita* spp.) is one effective system that has been shown to produce outstanding yields per unit area compared to monoculture systems. The Push-Pull Technology developed in East Africa, based on the use of repellent and trap companion plants intercropped with maize (and to a lesser extent sorghum), is seen to be similarly effective in minimizing the impact of major pests on yields, including striga weed (*Striga* spp.), maize stemborers, and the fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*). Although both systems have the potential to compensate for each other's limitations, there has been no cross-system learning between the Mesoamerican *milpa* and the East African Push-Pull Technology. Here, we review both systems and present the advantages likely to be obtained by combining these technologies in small-scale farming. The proposed *milpa push-pull* system could adapt to different gradients of altitude, rainfall, and soil nutrient levels, in addition to controlling pests, and therefore has the potential to become a fundamental cropping technique in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa.

Keywords *Desmodium* · *Brachiaria* · Napier grass · Fall armyworm · Striga weed · Stimulo-deterrent · Diversionary strategy · Stemborer · Maize · Squash · Beans

1 Introduction: Maize, Mesoamerican *Milpa*, and the Push-Pull Technology

Food insecurity remains a pressing issue for many smallscale farmers, especially in sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America (Silvestri et al. 2015; Espinosa-Cristia et al. 2019). Varying rainfall patterns under climate change, in addition to threats such as invasive noxious weeds and crop pests, further deepen the problem (Khan et al. 2014; Gazal et al. 2018). Therefore, optimizing agricultural techniques for the production of staple foods, such as maize (*Zea mays* ssp. *mays*), becomes imperative.

 Felipe Librán-Embid feliem3@gmail.com;
 Felipe.Libran-Embid@allzool.bio.uni-giessen.de

Maize origins trace back nearly 9000 years to the Mesoamerican region when it was domesticated from its wild relative teosinte by early settlers (Matsuoka et al. 2002). It became the staple food source for many indigenous American societies (Staller 2021) and a crucial component of the diets of subsistence farmers across the Americas and Africa (Shiferaw et al. 2011). In fact, maize accounts for more than 20% of the calorie intake in Eastern Africa, Central America, and Mexico (Shiferaw et al. 2011). At the same time, these regions are also likely to suffer disproportionately from the impacts of climate change on agricultural production through increases in droughts and the pressure of native or invasive pests (McGuigan et al. 2002; Mainka and Howard 2010). The mean global maize losses due to pests and pathogens stand at 22.5% but increase to 30.1% in sub-Saharan Africa (Savary et al. 2019). The predicted range expansion, severity, and emergence of pests and pathogens with ongoing climate change further threaten long-term food security (Bebber 2015; Ristaino et al. 2021).



¹ Institute of Animal Ecology and Systematics, Justus Liebig University of Gießen, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26, 35392 Gießen, Germany

Agricultural intensification and land-use change have increased the pressure that pests and pathogens exert on crops, especially in non-origin areas, where natural enemies are usually absent (Bianchi et al. 2006; Chaplin-Kramer et al. 2011). For example, the invasion of the fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*, FAW) in Africa in 2016 was dramatic as this pest attacks common cereal crops, including maize and sorghum (Davis et al. 2018; Baudron et al. 2019); whereas in the Americas, the species is a generalist pest of almost all main staple crops (Montezano et al. 2018). Climate change and FAW have been the main threats to food security in sub-Saharan Africa in recent years (Gebreziher 2020; Matova et al. 2020; Timilsena et al. 2022).

Several strategies have been developed across the tropics to deal with the susceptibility of maize to soil fertility, climatic stressors, and pest pressures (Altieri and Nicholls 2003; De Groote et al. 2010). One such strategy involves enriching the system with edible and/or beneficial companion plants. The traditional milpa system in Mesoamerica, based on intercropping maize with beans and squash, and the Push-Pull Technology (PPT) developed in Eastern Africa in 1997, are key among available technologies based on companion cropping strategies. Both technologies have shown great promise, most conspicuously through strong increases in yield, but also through a range of other benefits (Khan et al. 2014; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021). While the milpa system is founded on diversified food crop production, the push-pull system makes use of attractive and repellent properties of companion plants to reduce the pressure of pests on the crop. However, to date, *milpa* use has remained mostly limited to smallholder indigenous farmers in Mexico and Central America (Molina-Anzures et al. 2016), although there are reports of cereal-legume (Layek et al. 2018; Maitra et al. 2020) and cereal-legume-pumpkin (Baudron et al. 2019) combinations from other parts of the world, including Asia and Africa.

Widespread adoption of the PPT, on the other hand, has been limited by several factors including access to seeds of companion plants, lack of flexibility for crop rotation/ diversification, and the amount of labor required at the initial stages (Fischler 2010). While very efficient in controlling pest and weed infestations, one main constraint of PPT lies in the fact that the recommended companion plants are not utilized as food, making the system produce only maize and fodder from plots that would otherwise be intercropped with other food plants such as common beans in addition to maize. Meanwhile, the milpa system is not designed to withstand high levels of insect pests and/or striga weed infestation. Surprisingly, to date, there has been little or no cross-system learning between the Mesoamerican milpa and the East African PPT, despite the fact that both systems have the potential to compensate for each other's limitations.



Here, we review the available literature on the characteristics of *milpa* and the PPT. We followed a qualitative review methodology that was not designed to be exhaustive, but rather to represent a consistent sample of the literature. Articles that described both systems, as well as those with evidence to support the potential benefits of combining milpa and PPT, were reviewed and synthesized. Based on the above, we present the advantages likely to be obtained by combining these technologies in small-scale farming in Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa.

2 *Milpa* in Mesoamerica: a highly successful traditional mixed-cropping system

The pre-Columbian civilizations in Mesoamerica did not grow maize in extensive monocultures but rather used complex multi-cropping systems (Zizumbo-Villarreal and Colunga-GarcíaMarín 2010). By 4400 BP, they developed a planting technique known as milpa or "three sisters" (Zizumbo-Villarreal and Colunga-GarcíaMarín 2010). The term *milpa* may be broadly used to refer to any kind of maize-producing system (Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021), but its more strict and original meaning involves intercropping maize with beans (Phaseolus vulgaris/Phaseolus lunatus) and squash (Cucurbita spp.) (Parsons et al. 2009; Zizumbo-Villarreal and Colunga-GarcíaMarín 2010) (Fig. 1). The basis of the *milpa* system lies in the fact that the bean plant climbs the maize, increasing its access to light, and at the same time fixates nitrogen in the ground, which is then available for the other plants of the system (Zhang et al. 2014). The squash, with its broad leaves, shades the soil, protecting it against erosion, retaining soil moisture, and preventing competitive weeds from entering the system (Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021).

Maize, beans, and squash were domesticated contemporaneously in Mesoamerica and experienced natural and artificial selection leading to a high level of ecological adaptation to each other (Zizumbo-Villarreal and Colunga-GarcíaMarín 2010). The *milpa* adapts to diverse soil and climatic conditions and suffers from fewer pests and diseases than monocrop maize, also proving particularly efficient in terms of nutritional output (Van Rheenen et al. 1981; Morales and Perfecto 2000; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021). Indeed, the component plants in a typical *milpa* system differ in their root crown architecture and vertical root distribution, leading to a high degree of complementarity and efficiency in terms of nutrient uptake, particularly nitrogen, and phosphorus (Zhang et al. 2014).

Smallholders in Latin America mostly use traditional agricultural systems based on polycultures such as *milpa* (Aldama et al. 2015; Suárez et al. 2022). Smallholder farming (farms with < 5 ha in production) in Latin America

Fig. 1 Maize (*Zea mays* ssp. *mays*), beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and squash (*Cucurbita* spp.) in the most traditional setting of the *milpa* system. The principles of *milpa* are depicted, showing beans' N-fixing nodules and root architecture complementarity (optimization of nutrient uptake), climbing support for beans (optimizing light interception and photosynthesis), and ground cover by the leaves of *Cucurbita* spp. (moisture retention and soil erosion prevention). Root architecture modified from Postma and Lynch (2012).



Root architecture complementarity

represents circa 60% of the share of farms in the region, but only extends over less than 2% of the agricultural area (Lowder et al. 2021). However, the contribution of smallholder agriculture to the general food supply in the region reaches on average 7% of the food calories produced, indicating that smallholders have greater productivity per hectare than larger farms (Samberg et al. 2016). When "family farming" is considered (farms held by a family unit and not a commercial company), this type of farming's contribution to the regional food supply is considerably larger (Schneider 2014; Lowder et al. 2021). The widespread use of milpa by smallholder and family farmers, especially in low-input cropping systems, is notably based on a 30% over-yield advantage over the average yield of the respective monocultures (Altieri et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2014). Compared to monocultures, milpa allows small-scale farmers to produce more food on the same land, while maintaining the yield of the main crop, maize (Altieri et al. 2012; Ebel et al. 2017; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021).

In Latin America, several native and exotic arthropods are considered pests of economic importance, in particular the lepidopterans *Spodoptera* spp., *Helicoverpa* spp., *Agrotis ipsilon*, and *Diatraea saccharalis* (Blanco et al. 2016; Varón de Agudelo et al. 2022). *Spodoptera frugiperda* (FAW) is commonly referred to as the most important maize pest in Latin America (Blanco et al. 2016; Hruska 2019). However, these pests are only problematic in large-scale maize farming (conventional and transgenic maize) and are not even mentioned among the most common herbivorous arthropods by traditional smallholder farmers (Morales and Perfecto 2000). In fact, no herbivorous arthropod is classified as a "pest" (i.e., surpassing economic threshold damage) by small-scale *milpa* farmers (Morales and Perfecto 2000).

Due to the increasing resistance of FAW to many insecticidal proteins of Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), multiple insecticide applications, even in transgenic maize, are required to control FAW in large-scale maize farming (Blanco et al. 2016). Blanco et al. (2016) cite potential losses of 100% in conventional large-scale maize plantations as a consequence of FAW attacks if left unattended. Regarding weed control, herbicides, such as glyphosate, are usually used in largescale maize farming and particularly in association with herbicide-tolerant biotech (Round-UP Ready technology) maize (Gianessi 2013; ISAAA 2018). In contrast, manual interventions are usually sufficient for smallholders to control noxious weeds (Parsons et al. 2009), as many naturally occurring weeds in milpa are used for food, medicinal or other purposes, and companion crops (e.g., beans and squash) already control the spread of most noxious weeds (Caamal-Maldonado et al. 2001; Lima et al. 2010; Le Garff 2017). Although no parasitic specialist cereal weeds, such as those from the genus Striga, are known to occur in Latin America Striga spp. did invade the USA and Australia (Mohamed et al. 2006).

3 The African cereal-based Push-Pull Technology

Maize arrived in Africa during the seventeenth century and spread rapidly throughout the continent, substituting traditional native cereals such as sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*), pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) as the preferred crop in many parts of the continent (Cherniwchan and Moreno-Cruz 2019). As in Mesoamerica, maize is the main source of



calories within cereals in sub-Saharan Africa and is fundamental for the food security of smallholders (Shiferaw et al. 2011). In sub-Saharan Africa, smallholders represent circa 90% of the share of farms and occupy approximately 55% of the agricultural land, producing 50% of the calories in the region (Samberg et al. 2016; Lowder et al. 2021). Additionally, medium-sized farms (5–15 ha) account for another 26% of production (Samberg et al. 2016).

In Africa, maize production is severely limited by lepidopteran pests, such as stemborers Busseola fusca, Chilo partellus, the fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda, FAW), and by the obligate root parasite Striga weed (i.e., S. hermonthica and S. asiatica, Midega et al. 2018). Stemborers alone can cause up to 40% yield losses and striga weed can lead to a 100% yield loss if unattended (Khan and Pickett 2008). In the late 1990s, a stimulo-deterrent system for sustainable maize production was developed by the International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (icipe) in Kenya, originally to control stemborers and striga weed without using pesticides or herbicides (Khan et al. 2000; Khan and Pickett 2008). The so-called Push-Pull Technology integrates into the maize field a set of repellent companion and "dead-end" trap plants (Khan et al. 2001, 2003) (Fig. 2). The PPT has proven to be highly efficient in increasing yields in an environmentally friendly manner not only by controlling pests but also because of its benefits in terms of soil moisture retention and soil fertility improvement. Average yield increases of 50% and 20% were attained in areas with and without striga weed, respectively (Khan and Pickett 2008).

The PPT is based on intercropping maize with Desmodium spp., a plant genus native to the Americas. Desmodium spp. have pest repellent properties and also the capacity to control Striga spp. by provoking abortive germination of its seeds (Khan et al. 2003). At the same time, the properties of Desmodium spp., as a legume, enhance soil fertility by fixing nitrogen in the soil, and its extensive cover between the rows of maize helps retain soil moisture in otherwise dry conditions. Additionally, a dead-end trap plant (i.e., a plant attractive to female moths but in which larvae cannot develop), such as Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) or Brachiaria spp., is used around the plot edges to act as a "pull" for the pests (Khan et al. 2014; Cheruiyot et al. 2021a). Both companion plants also represent valuable sources of fodder for dairy animals. Different versions of PPT have been developed, including highly successful first-, second-, and third-generation "climate-smart" variants (Cheruiyot et al. 2021a). Besides controlling both stemborers and striga weed, PPT has proven effective against the recent invasion of polyphagous FAW from America (Midega et al. 2018).



Fig. 2 Push-pull mechanism showing the repellent effects of *Desmo*dium spp. on maize stemborers and the attractant properties of trap plants such as *Brachiaria* spp. and Napier grass *Pennisetum purpureum*. The attraction of natural enemies by companion plants is also shown, as well as the capacity of *Desmodium* spp. to fix nitrogen

and stimulate abortive germination of *Striga* weeds through root exudates. Maize roots modified from Postma and Lynch (2012), *Desmodium* roots modified from Hooper et al. (2015), and *Brachiaria* roots modified from Galdos et al. (2020).

There is currently an open debate on the exact mechanisms by which the PPT controls FAW. On the one hand, Sobhy et al. (2022) found that all companion plants tested (D. uncinatum, D. intortum, and Brachiaria brizantha cv Mulato II) constitutively emit volatile compounds that repel adult FAW moths and attract parasitoids. On the other hand, Erdei et al. (2022) propose that the fundamental mechanism is based on the preference of first instar FAW larvae on D. intortum over maize as the host plant although the plant does not allow FAW development (i.e., causes 100% larval mortality). Instead of repelling adult FAW moths, these authors report evidence of D. intortum acting as a pull plant for neonate-dispersing FAW larvae in search of a new host (Erdei et al. 2022). Although there is general agreement on the efficiency of PPT to control FAW, the elucidation of specific mechanisms is of major importance in order to develop natural pest control strategies in other crops and regions of the world. Decoding the reasons behind these seemingly contradictory findings will bring to light a deeper mechanistic understanding of PPT.

4 *Milpa push-pull* as a versatile solution to key agronomic limitations

We propose that a combination of traditional indigenous milpa with recent advances in PPT would represent a highly effective synergy for the biological control of maize pests and weeds, high yields, and diversified production. Both systems can be easily combined and adapted to region-specific farming conditions, with large potential benefits in terms of reduction of agrochemical inputs, crop yields, revenue, protection of biodiversity and cultural traditions. A milpa push-pull combination has the potential to robustly mitigate weeds and pests while also providing smallholder farmers with optimal food production and a diverse nutritionallybalanced harvest. Here, we describe how the milpa principles can be combined with the PPT to attain their mutual benefits. Furthermore, we critically assess the characteristics of the plants involved in the *milpa* and push-pull systems and discuss the possibility of using alternative plants in certain scenarios.

4.1 Parallels of the two systems: intercropping of cereals and legumes

In sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America, maize and leguminous plants, including beans (*Phaseolus vul*garis), soybean (*Glycine max*), cowpea (*Vigna unguicu*lata), pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*), bambara nut (*Vigna* subterranea), green gram (*Vigna radiata*) and groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) are commonly planted together for their ecological complementarity and associated overyielding (Mucheru-Muna et al. 2010; Muoni et al. 2019). This overyielding is partly explained by the capacity of legumes to fix nitrogen in the soil, which is then available to other plants, such as maize. Additionally, cereal-legume associations tend to have fewer pests and pathogens than their respective monocultures (Van Rheenen et al. 1981; Fininsa 2003), including reduced severity of FAW attack (Hailu et al. 2018; Udayakumar et al. 2021). These properties explain why cereal-legume associations, particularly climbing beans and maize/ sorghum, are so popular among smallholder farmers in Africa and Latin America and constitute the backbone of smallholder production.

4.2 Lessons from Mesoamerican *milpa* for African push-pull systems: diversification of food production, nutritional balance and the fight against FAW

Arguably, the strongest virtue of *milpa* systems is the high diversification of food production, the derived nutritional balance, and the "insurance" against total production failure. In other words, *milpa* systems maximize the volume and diversity of food elements, leading to a high nutritional balance in terms of macro- and micronutrients (Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021). The accumulation of long-term knowledge on the most suitable plant combinations can be extremely valuable to enrich and accelerate the more recent efforts at food plant diversification currently underway in Africa (Chidawanyika et al. 2023).

One such example is the incorporation of *Cucurbita* spp. to cereal-legume smallholder production in Africa. The role of Cucurbita spp. within milpa systems partially overlaps that of *Desmodium* spp. within PPT, as both are responsible for soil moisture retention, reduction of soil erosion, and prevention of noxious weeds entering the system. But while Desmodium spp. are only used as fodder, Cucurbita spp. are a source of micronutrients, especially vitamin A, which are fundamental for an appropriate nutritional balance (Ndoro et al. 2007; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021). Cucurbita spp. are not unfamiliar to African smallholder farmers; maize-pumpkin intercropping is very common in southern Africa where farmers use all parts of the plant for food, including leaves, flowers, and seeds (Silwana and Lucas 2002; Maereka et al. 2009). However, its combination with cereal-legume intercropping is rare (Baudron et al. 2019), especially in other African regions.

Non-surprisingly, most knowledge on agroecological strategies against FAW comes from Latin American small-holders (Harrison et al. 2019). *Cucurbita* spp., for example, have been found to reduce FAW attack when intercropped with maize in Latin America (García González et al. 2010, 2013). On the contrary, the only study that



analyzed the effect of maize-pumpkin intercropping on FAW in Africa found increased FAW damage in maizepumpkin treatment and no effect in the pumpkin-pulsemaize treatment compared to monocrop (Baudron et al. 2019). There is currently no clear explanation for this contradiction, which signals that complex interaction mechanisms are at play in these systems that are not yet understood and require additional research. Notably, this may signal context-dependent effects and non-trivial relationships among natural enemies in each local food web underpinning pest control services (Chen et al. 2017).

Among small-scale farmers in Latin America FAW does not usually reach economic thresholds (Wyckhuys and O'Neil 2006) and is commonly considered a herbivore of lesser importance that requires no particular management, especially in the highlands (Morales and Perfecto 2000; Wyckhuys and O'Neil 2007). The situation is different in large-scale maize monocultures in Latin America where FAW is usually a serious problem (Blanco et al. 2014). Smallholders in Latin America benefit from pest control services provided by a diverse array of predators and parasitoids that have coevolved with FAW (Molina-Ochoa et al. 2004; Rios-Velasco et al. 2011). These include wasps, flies, carabids, ants, spiders, and bats that are associated with native vegetation in the surroundings of plantations from which they can spillover to maize crops (Wyckhuys and O'Neil 2010; Maine and Boyles 2015; Cinel and Taylor 2019). This network of specialist and generalist natural enemies also profits from the multicropping systems used by small-scale farmers in Latin America due to the presence of alternative food resources and nesting sites (Nicholls and Altieri 2004; Altieri et al. 2012). Although specialist natural enemies of FAW, such as Hymenoptera (e.g., Eiphosoma laphygmae, Allen et al. 2021) and Diptera parasitoids are not expected to occur in Africa and Asia, where it has recently spread (Roy et al. 2011), a number of generalist natural enemies have been identified so far in its new range, including several parasitoids and ants (Abang et al. 2021; Mohamed et al. 2021; Kenis et al. 2022). Considering that other Spodoptera spp. are native to Africa such as Spodoptera exempta and Spodoptera littoralis host switching by indigenous parasitoids of Spodoptera spp. or other noctuids, could open an opportunity for biological pest control of FAW (Roy et al. 2011; Mohamed et al. 2021), as has already occurred with Telenomus remus in Asia (Kenis et al. 2019; Liao et al. 2019; Colmenarez et al. 2022) and with Cotesia sesamiae and Cotesia icipe in Africa (Fiaboe et al. 2017; Agbodzavu et al. 2018; Sisay et al. 2018; Abang et al. 2021; Mohamed et al. 2021). Additionally, the dependency of these natural enemies on proximal natural vegetation has recently been proven in Africa (Clarkson et al. 2022; Jordon et al. 2022). Hruska (2019) and Harrison et al. (2019) have extensively described a number of



strategies that smallholders in Africa and Asia could use, inspired by the American experience, that can contribute to palliate FAW damage, such as which, when and how to intercrop plant species and several cultural and landscape management recommendations and also have pointed to the most important knowledge gaps and research priorities.

4.3 Widening perspectives: centers of origin and traditional agroecological knowledge as foundations for sustainable intensification

To understand how agroecological systems work, it is essential to study them at their centers of origin (Chen et al. 2017). A good example of this comes from the election of Desmodium species in the African push-pull systems. Originally, the species D. uncinatum was used as a repellent plant (Cook et al. 2007), but as this species could not resist long periods of drought, it has been substituted with D. intortum, D. incanum, and other drought-resistant species during the last decade (Midega et al. 2018; Cheruiyot et al. 2021a). In fact, D. uncinatum is a forest species in its native range in South America, which may explain why it is not as tolerant to drought as other species in the genus (Vanni 2001). The drought-tolerant D. incanum (Midega et al. 2017) is a grassland-adapted species from the south of South America, which may explain its higher tolerance to drought and frost (Crosa et al. 1999). D. incanum is currently the recommended push plant of the so-called third-generation PPT (Cheruiyot et al. 2021a).

Local traditional knowledge is also key, particularly in millenary cultivation systems such as the milpa, because much can be learnt from the empirical experiences of farmers (Morales and Perfecto 2000; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021). Knowledge from centers of origin is also fundamental in terms of pest management (Altieri 1980) and will be especially important in Africa and Asia to learn how to better deal with the noxious FAW invasion from the American experience (Hruska 2019). This is particularly relevant considering that exotic FAW is displacing native stemborers such as Busseola fusca and Sesamia calamistis in some parts of Africa (Sokame et al. 2020, 2021). For example, it has been demonstrated in American systems attacked by FAW that beans should be planted considerably earlier than maize to achieve better protection against FAW (Altieri 1980). The same recommendation has been made regarding trap plants for FAW in African systems (Cheruiyot et al. 2021b).

Unfortunately, knowledge is often lost because a great deal of information is only available in local languages. In *milpa* systems, for example, knowledge is usually only available in Mayan languages and, if at all, translated and published in Spanish (Morales and Perfecto 2000; Ebel et al. 2017). This may also be the case for some native plants in

Africa that could potentially be used for *milpa push-pull* systems but whose usage is stored in local languages (Muoni et al. 2019), such as *Cleome* (= *Gynandropsis*) gynandra as a repellent plant for spider mites (Nyalala and Grout 2007). The protection of local indigenous languages and populations is likely to mean the protection of invaluable agricultural knowledge at the foundation of global food security.

4.4 Lessons from African PPT for Mesoamerican *milpa* systems: fight against *Striga* spp., control of lepidopteran pests and fodder production

Although currently absent in Latin America, striga weed has been found to have a high invasive potential in Latin America, and an invasion of the region in the near future cannot be excluded (Mohamed et al. 2006). In fact, striga weed was introduced and spread through the states of Florida, North and South Carolina (USA), and was eventually eradicated after several decades of interventions and significant expenditures from the local governmental agencies (Mohamed et al. 2006). To avoid major yield losses, as happened with the vertiginous FAW spread in Africa, Asia, and Oceania, Latin American farmers should be aware of the African experience with this parasitic weed. Many small-scale farmers in Africa rotate maize with beans (or other legumes) to fight striga weed, given that legumes stimulate striga weed germination, which later dies in the absence of a cereal host (Oswald and Ransom 2001). Among legumes, the use of Desmodium spp. in push-pull systems as an intercrop is particularly effective when striga weed is a problem and when maize is to be planted continuously throughout the seasons, without crop rotation (Khan et al. 2007). This is because Desmodium spp., unlike most other legumes, not only induces striga weed seeds to abortive germination but also prevents Striga from attaching to maize roots via allelopathic effects of their root exudates (Khan et al. 2007, 2014). A further advantage of Desmodium spp. is that they are perennial legumes and can fix more nitrogen in the soil than annual legumes provided that they can establish mutualistic interactions with specific rhizobia (Khan and Pickett 2008; Granada et al. 2014). Also Desmodium spp. intercropping has been found to induce increased plant defense through plant-soil feedback (Mutyambai et al. 2019; Erdei et al. 2022). The edible Crotalaria brevidens and Crotalaria ochroleuca have also been found to induce suicidal germination and prevent Striga hermonthica radicle development (Mwakha et al. 2020). In addition, D. intortum and C. ochroleuca were found to be the only two intercrop legumes, among several tested, to significantly reduce the emergence of S. hermonthica and increase maize height (Khan et al. 2007). Given the well-studied allelopathic effects of C. juncea (Skinner et al. 2012; Bundit et al. 2021) and the demonstrated allelopathy of root extracts in several other Crotalaria spp. (Rugare et al. 2021), it is possible that *C. ochroleuca* and *C. brevidens* also rely on the same mechanism to control *S. hermonthica*.

Despite being native to the Americas, Desmodium spp. are largely ignored in their potential to control FAW and other pests on that continent, and to our knowledge, are not used as a repellent intercrop in any traditional Latin American cultivation system so far. Considering the increasing pressure of FAW attack on maize and the weakening of natural enemies' networks resulting from pesticide overuse in large-scale farms, deforestation, and climate change, *Desmodium* spp. may also be a candidate intercrop for smallholders to consider in Latin America. In fact, the inclusion of *Desmodium* spp. or other push plants may also allow for the sustainable intensification of maize crops and contribute to the control of other lepidopteran pests such as Helicoverpa spp. and Agrotis ipsilon. Moreover, it could be an option to produce more fodder without losing pest control properties or even be an alternative to Bt maize (Midega et al. 2006). The grasses used as trap plants in Africa (i.e., P. purpureum, Brachiaria cv Mulato II and Brachiaria cv Xaraes) have proven effective over the years for controlling African stemborers (Khan et al. 2003; Midega et al. 2015). However, they are found to perform poorly as pull trap plants for the American FAW, in particular the most commonly used trap plant, Brachiaria brizantha cv Mulato II (Guera et al. 2020; Scheidegger et al. 2021; Cheruiyot et al. 2021b). Guera et al. (2020) found that only Panicum maximum cv. Mombasa was significantly preferred by adult FAW moths for oviposition over maize, while also having a low survival rate of the larvae (15%). Cheruiyot et al. 2021b reported that FAW laid more eggs on B. brizantha cv. Xaraes and P. purpureum cv. South Africa than on maize in two-choice tests, but only when these grasses were double the size of maize. Overall, FAW larvae do not prefer any of the tested pull grasses over maize in two-choice tests (Guera et al. 2020) and all of them attracted significantly less larvae than maize after 48 h (Cheruiyot et al. 2021b). This means that, until better alternative trap plants for FAW are found, a trap plant may not be convenient in the Americas, as it may not compensate the opportunity costs of the land used for it in the absence of fodder requirements for dairy animals. Similarly, considering that FAW is displacing native stemborers such as Busseola fusca and Sesamia calamistis in some parts of Africa (Sokame et al. 2020, 2021), the importance of trap plants in African push-pull systems, especially in regions affected mainly by FAW should be revisited. Recently, Sobhy et al. (2022) found that none of the climate-smart PPT companion plants act as a pull trap plant for adult FAW moths, but rather all of them (including the border grass Brachiaria brizantha cv Mulato II) act as push plants for the pest and attractants to two of its natural enemies in Africa. Erdei et al. (2022) studied FAW



larval behavior towards *D. intortum* and found that this perennial legume functions as a dead-end trap plant for FAW larvae. Taken together, these studies show that PPT control of FAW does not occur by the same mechanisms as African stemborers, thus opening a range of possibilities to further maximize the efficacy of the system to control FAW through variations in the choice of companion plants, plant densities and spatial arrangement of PPT components.

4.5 The milpa push-pull system

Considering the above and the fact that beans intercropping has been shown to be compatible with PPT (Khan et al. 2009), we propose that already a basic form of a combined *milpa push-pull* system, i.e., a multi-crop association including maize planted in alternating rows with *Desmodium* spp. and *Cucurbita* spp. and enriched within the same row with climbing beans (Fig. 3), would be a useful framework to consider for further intensification of smallholder maize production. Furthermore, such a system could use a trap plant such as *P. maximum* cv. Mombasa or *B. brizantha* cv. Xaraes, where fodder production is desired and/or FAW pressure is high.

Other legume-enriched push-pull systems that diversify food production, increase nitrogen fixation, and enhance pest control might also be envisioned. We propose that a flexible *milpa push-pull* can be developed that builds on the benefits of species combinations classically found both in *milpa* and in PPT. Such a system should allow flexibility according to the most appropriate combination of push-and-pull plants given variables such as rainfall pattern, Striga pressure, FAW and stemborer pressure, nutritional needs, and cultural and food preferences of the farmers. Both the *milpa* and PPT show a high capacity to be adapted to cope with different challenges including varying climatic regimes (Murage et al. 2015; Midega et al. 2015, 2018). The same properties of flexibility and adaptability are likely to be transferred to their combination.

A basic *milpa push-pull* system could use all plant combinations traditionally practiced in *milpa* systems, including the addition of potato, faba bean, amaranth, peas, tomato, pepper, and other green vegetables (Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021). Such a system would largely benefit from the knowledge generated recently on push-pull intensification with vegetables, including cabbages, kales, cowpeas, African nightshade, tomatoes, and onions (Chidawanyika et al. 2023).

Drought-resistant intercrop species such as *Desmodium incanum* may be selected preferentially in areas with long droughts (Midega et al. 2017). In areas with low or no incidence of striga weeds and low to moderate FAW occurrence, or where farmers practice crop rotation between legumes and cereals, *Desmodium* spp. may be optionally substituted with other repellent intercrops, particularly species of high value as food (Table 1). In the presence of annual legumes (e.g., beans) in the system, non-legume-repellent intercrops could also be considered as candidates without compromising nitrogen fixation and associated high soil fertility (Guera et al. 2020, 2021).



Fig.3 *Milpa push-pull* showing a possible arrangement of the merged system, especially for regions affected by striga, including intercropped maize, beans climbing the maize stalks, squash, *Desmodium*, and a trap plant surrounding the plot. In this system, the combination of maize, *Desmodium*, and the trap plant originates from the

push-pull cropping system (Fig. 2), whereas the maize, beans, and squash mixture is the basic foundation of the Latin American *milpa* (Fig. 1). Maize, beans and squash roots modified from Postma and Lynch (2012), *Desmodium* roots modified from Hooper et al. (2015) and *Brachiaria* roots modified from Galdos et al. (2020).



cating	a both	
h" indi	isted in	
[snd,, t	ty are l	
sts, wit	" activi	
eran pe	llud" b	
spidopt	ısh" an	
on on le	oth "pu	
ts' actio	have b	
to plan	ound to	
es refer	lants fo	
ropertie	Some p	
pull" p	able 2.	
, and	ail in T	
łsnd,, lu	ore det	
potentia	ed in m	
fied or	describ	
. Identi	its are o	
sh-pull	nese tra	
ilpa pu	vely. Tł	
es for <i>m</i>	espectir	
tt specie	pests, r	ge gaps
ate plar	fect on	nowled
candid	ctive efi	licate k
rties of	un attra	arks inc
l propei	3 "lluq"	stion m
Genera	nt and '	s. Que
ole 1	epelle	tegorie

Table 1 General proper a repellent and "pull" a categories. Question ma	ties of candidate plant sp n attractive effect on pest urks indicate knowledge g	ecies for <i>milpa pu</i> ts, respectively. Th gaps	<i>ush-pull.</i> Identified or po hese traits are described	tential " in more	push" an detail in	d "pull" properties refer i Table 2. Some plants f	to plants' a ound to hav	ction on lepidopteran pes e both "push" and "pull"	sts, with "push" indicating activity are listed in both
Plant	Common name	Family	Origin	Food	Fodder	Lifespan	Drought resistant	Comments	References
Plants with identified or	r potential "push" propert	ties for lepidopters	an pests						
Dysphania ambro- sioides	Mexican-tea, paico, epazote	Amaranthaceae	Latin America	Yes	No	Annual or short-lived perennial	Yes		(Guera et al. 2020, 2021)
Tagetes erecta	Marigold	Asteraceae	Mexico	Yes	Yes	Annual	No	ı	
Clitoria ternatea	Butterfly pea, blue pea	Fabaceae	Asia	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes		(Njunie et al. 2022)
Crotalaria brevidens	Mitoo, Marejea, Alaju	Fabaceae	Africa	Yes	Yes	Annual or short-lived perennial	No	More drought tolerant than C. ochroleuca	(Polhill 1968; Uiso and Johns 1996)
Crotalaria juncea	Sunn hemp	Fabaceae	India	No	Yes	Annual	Yes	·	(Tripathi et al. 2013; Guera et al. 2020, 2021)
Crotalaria longiro- strata	Chipilín	Fabaceae	Mesoamerica	Yes	Yes	Annual or short-lived perennial	ż		(Morton 1994)
Crotalaria ochro- leuca	Mitoo, Marejea, Alaju	Fabaceae	Africa	Yes	Yes	Annual or short-lived perennial	No		(Polhill 1968; Sikuku et al. 2013)
Crotalaria pumila	Low Rattlebox	Fabaceae	Mexico and southern USA	Yes	ż	Annual or short-lived perennial	Yes		(Manzanero-Medina et al. 2020)
Desmodium incanum	Greenleaf Desmodium	Fabaceae	Latin America	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes	More drought resistant than D. intortum.	(Crosa et al. 1999; Vanni 2001; Ma et al. 2011;
Desmodium intortum	Greenleaf Desmodium	Fabaceae	Latin America	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes		Midega et al. 2017)
Desmodium unci- natum	Silverleaf Desmodium	Fabaceae	Latin America	No	Yes	Perennial	No		
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Mulato II'	Brachiaria	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes	Repellent of FAW adults	(Sobhy et al. 2022)
Melinis minutifiora	Molasses grass	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes		(Cheruiyot et al. 2021b, a; Erdei et al. 2022)
Plants with identified or	: potential "pull" properti	es for lepidoptera	n pests						
Desmodium intortum	Greenleaf Desmodium	Fabaceae	Latin America	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes		(Erdei et al. 2022)
Desmodium unci- natum	Silverleaf Desmodium	Fabaceae	Latin America	No	Yes	Perennial	No		

Table 1 (continued)									
Plant	Common name	Family	Origin	Food	Fodder	Lifespan	Drought resistant	Comments	References
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Mulato II'	Brachiaria	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes	1	(van den Berg 2006b; Truong et al. 2008;
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Xaraes'	Brachiaria	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes		Guera et al. 2020, 2021; Shamim et al. 2021; Cheruivot et al.
Melinis minutiflora	Molasses grass	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes	ı	2021a, b)
Panicum maximum cv. Mombasa	Guinea grass	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes		
Paspalum notatum	Bahia grass	Poaceae	Latin America	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes	I	
Pennisetum pur- pureum cv. Ouma II	Napier grass, Elephant grass	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	No		
Pennisetum pur- pureum cv. South Africa	Napier grass, Elephant grass	Poaceae	Africa	No	Yes	Perennial	No		
Vetiveria zizanioides High food value plants s	Vetiver commonly intercronned y	Poaceae with cereals	India	No	Yes	Perennial	Yes	ı	
Amaranthus spp.	Amaranth	Amaranthaceae	Worlwide	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	Seeds and leaves are edible	(Fomsgaard et al. 2011; Alemayehu et al. 2015)
Cleome gynandra	Spider plant	Cleomaceae	Africa	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	Contains high levels of proteins	(Nyalala and Grout 2007)
Cucurbita spp.	Squash/Pumpkin	Cucurbitaceae	Mesoamerica	Yes	No	Annual	No	Source of vitamin A. Flowers and fruits are edible	(Novotny et al. 2021; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021)
Arachis hypogaea	Groundnut	Fabaceae	South America	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	1	(Mucheru-Muna et al.
Cajanus cajan	Pigeon pea	Fabaceae	India	Yes	Yes	Short-lived perennial	Yes	Very drought resistant	2010; Villordo-Pineda
Crotalaria longiro- strata	Chipilín	Fabaceae	Mesoamerica	Yes	Yes	Annual or short-lived perennial	ċ	ı	et al. 2015; Iqbal et al. 2018; Muoni et al. 2010: Monzonaro
Crotalaria pumila	Low Rattlebox	Fabaceae	Mexico and southern USA	Yes	ċ	Annual or short-lived perennial	Yes		Medina et al. 2020; Drinkwater et al.
Glycine max	Soybean	Fabaceae	East Asia	Yes	Yes	Annual	No	I	2021)
Phaseolus spp.	Bean	Fabaceae	Mesoamerica	Yes	Yes	Annual	No	ı	
Vicia faba	Broad bean, faba bean	Fabaceae	West Asia	Yes	Yes	Annual	No	ı	
Vigna radiata	Green gram	Fabaceae	India	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes		
Vigna subterranea	Bambara nut	Fabaceae	West Africa	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes		
Vigna unguiculata	Cowpea	Fabaceae	West Africa	Yes	Yes	Annual	Yes	Very drought resistant	

 $2 \le Springer$

4.6 Other potential plant candidates and combinations for effective *milpa push-pull*

Many Desmodium spp. are edible and used for human consumption, among various uses, in some parts of the world (Ma et al. 2011), but this is neither common in America nor Africa. In Latin America, since striga weed is not yet present (Mohamed et al. 2006), other edible legumes with pestrepellent properties may represent better options as "push" components in an adapted *milpa push-pull*. For instance, recently, a maize push-pull system, inspired by the African experience, has been adapted in Mexico (Guera et al. 2021). Instead of Desmodium spp., these researchers used two native plants (Tagetes erecta and Dysphania ambrosioides) and the introduced legume (Crotalaria juncea) as repellent intercrops. They found that the system successfully reduced the incidence and severity of FAW up to 70% and 60% compared to a monocrop, respectively. Additionally, the system retained soil moisture and more than doubled maize yields (Guera et al. 2021). Interestingly, all repellent plants used in Guera et al. (2021) are edible (mostly used as condiments) and have multiple other purposes. Tagetes erecta for example, is cultivated to be used as feed, food pigment, ornament (including the famous Mexican Day of the Dead), and a medicinal plant (Hadden et al. 1999; Shetty et al. 2015). Furthermore, its roots produce secretions that have insecticidal and nematicidal effects that were found to suppress nematode pests when intercropped with cowpea (Olabiyi and Oyedunmade 2007). Dysphania ambrosioides is also frequent in the Mexican markets for its popularity as a condiment in a wide variety of regional foods although it is also consumed raw (Manzanero-Medina et al. 2020). Crotalaria, notably, is a drought-resistant genus of leguminous plants with hundreds of species, most of them native to East Africa, India, and America (le Roux et al. 2013). In addition to fixing nitrogen and repelling FAW, C. juncea attracts beneficial insects like parasitoids and pollinators, retains soil moisture, and prevents the development of noxious weeds (Guera et al. 2021; Bundit et al. 2021).

Specifically, *Crotalaria juncea* has been shown to attract predatory wasps (Vespidae) from the genera *Polistes, Brachygastra*, and *Protonectarina*, increasing the predation rates of the coffee-leaf-miner (*Leucoptera coffeella*), an important lepidopteran pest in coffee (Rosado et al. 2021). Given that the genera *Polistes* and *Polybia* have been found to attack both FAW and coffee leaf miner (Carvalho et al. 2005; Pereira et al. 2007; Held et al. 2008; Saraiva et al. 2017; Southon et al. 2019; Rosado et al. 2021), *Crotalaria juncea* may thus also increase predation rates of FAW in maize in addition to its pest repellent effects. Similar synergistic effects between coffee and maize regarding biological pest control could be mediated by the presence of Chrysopidae, such as *Chrysoperla externa*, the larvae of which are known

to prey on both L. coffeella and FAW (Tavares et al. 2012; Silva et al. 2022). Coffee volatiles can also attract predatory wasps and other FAW predators, producing synergistic effects between coffee and maize intercropped with C. *juncea* in terms of predator presence and predation rates, as these are commonly planted in close vicinity in many tropical regions (Fernandes et al. 2010). Other potential *milpa push-pull* intercrops may also have attractive effects on natural enemies, notably squash (García González et al. 2013), but they remain largely to be investigated (Table 2). Additionally, *milpa push-pull* and versions thereof are likely to also be a valuable resource for pollinators and for biodiversity in general given the variety of flowers, pollen, and other resources it can shelter (Nicholls and Altieri 2013), as known in similar mixed cropping systems. However, the value of these multicropping cereal-based systems for wild and managed bees has only recently started to be studied (Hüber et al. 2022; Kirsch et al. 2023). Some Crotalaria species (e.g., C. ochroleuca, C. arenaria, C. juncea) also provide nematicidal effects against certain pathogenic nematodes (Kushida et al. 2003; Germani and Plenchette 2005; Wang et al. 2002), including the root-knot nematodes (Do Nascimento et al. 2020). However, Crotalaria juncea, is also reported to be a host to Microtechnites bractatus (Hemiptera: Miridae) (Ribeiro et al. 2020) and Dalbulus maidis (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) (Tavares et al. 2011; de Lange et al. 2014) that are also known maize pests. Nonetheless, intercropping C. juncea at adequate planting timings and densities does not affect maize yields and is superior to other legume cover crops in terms of biomass productivity (Dzvene et al. 2022). Hence, it is essential to be selective and ensure proper planting and management routines that avoid unintended negative effects when choosing Crotalaria species. Crotalaria spp., such as C. longirostrata (locally known as "chipilin") and C. pumila, are already a frequent part of *milpa* systems in America, where they are planted mainly for human consumption (Morton 1994; Le Garff 2017; Manzanero-Medina et al. 2020). Furthermore, the leaves of C. brevidens and C. ochroleuca (commonly known as "Marejea" or "Mitoo" in Tanzania and Kenya and "Alaju" in Uganda) are also consumed as leafy vegetables and condiments in some African countries (Maundu et al. 1999; Oluoch et al. 2009; Mwakha et al. 2020; Muli et al. 2020). In fact, the market value of indigenous African leafy vegetables (including C. brevidens and C. ochroleuca) is calculated to be worth billions of US dollars (Weinberger and Pichop 2009) and is especially important in East Africa (Imathiu 2021). It should be tested whether the repellent effects of C. juncea against FAW (Guera et al. 2021) are exhibited by other Crotalaria spp., as has been found for some Desmodium spp. (Cheruiyot et al. 2021a), and particularly edible Crotalaria species. If edible Crotalaria species



2 Springer **INRA**

cate knowledge gaps	4		-	•			5)	,
Plant	Repels FAW adults	Repels stemborer adults	Dead-end trap for FAW larvae	Dead-end trap for stemborer larvae	Attractive to parasitic wasps	Attractive to other natural enemies	Nematicidal effect	Comments	References
Plants with identified or	potential "push"	properties for lepid	opteran pests						
Dysphania ambro- sioides	Yes	ė	No	i	ċ	Yes	No		(Olabiyi and Oye- dunmade 2007;
Tagetes erecta	Yes	i	No	ć	Yes	Yes	Yes	ı	Guera et al. 2020, 2021)
Clitoria ternatea	Yes	Yes	ż	ż	ż	ż	No		(Njunie et al. 2022)
Crotalaria brevidens	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż		(Wang et al. 2002;
Crotalaria juncea	Yes	ż	ż	i	Yes	Yes	Yes	ı	Kushida et al.
Crotalaria longiro- strata	ċ	ė	ż	ż	ć	ż	ż	ı	2003; Germani and Plenchette
Crotalaria ochroleuca	ż	ż	ż	i	i	ż	Yes		mento et al. 2020:
Crotalaria pumila	i	ċ	ż	ć	ċ	ż	i	ı	Guera et al. 2020, 2021)
Desmodium incanum	Yes [§]	Yes [§]	ż	ż	ż	ż	No	[§] See recent debate	(Midega et al. 2017;
Desmodium intortum	Yes [§]	Yes [§]	Yes	ż	Yes	Yes	No	related to control	Cheruiyot et al.
Desmodium uncina- tum	Yes [§]	Yes [§]	Yes	ż	Yes	Yes	No	mechanisms (Sobhy et al. 2022; Erdei et al. 2022)	2021a; Sobhy et al. 2022; Erdei et al. 2022)
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Mulato II'	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Susceptible to spider mites (Tet- ranychus spp.)	(Scheidegger et al. 2021; Sobhy et al. 2022)
Melinis minutiflora	Yes	Yes	ć	¢.	Yes	\$	No		(Tolosa et al. 2019; Cheruiyot et al. 2021b, a; Erdei et al. 2022)
Plants with identified or	potential "pull"	properties for lepido	pteran pests						
Desmodium intortum	Yes [§]	Yes [§]	Yes	ż	Yes	Yes	No	[§] See recent debate	(Erdei et al. 2022)
Desmodium uncina- tum	Yes [§]	Yes [§]	Yes	ć	Yes	Yes	No	related to control mechanisms (Sobhy et al.	
								2022; Erdei et al. 2022)	

Table 2 (continued)									
Plant	Repels FAW adults	Repels stemborer adults	Dead-end trap for FAW larvae	Dead-end trap for stemborer larvae	Attractive to parasitic wasps	Attractive to other natural enemies	Nematicidal effect	Comments	References
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Mulato II'	Yes	No	Yes*	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Susceptible to spider mites (<i>Tet-</i> <i>ranychus</i> spp.). *Does not retain FAW larvae.	(Ofomata et al. 2000; Khan et al. 2006, 2007; Van den Berg 2006a; Van den Berg
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Xaraes'	¢.	No	Yes*	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Resistant to spider mites (<i>Tetranychus</i> spp.). *Does not retain FAW larvae.	et al. 2006; van den Berg 2006b; Koji et al. 2007; Hassanali et al. 2008: Bruce
Melinis minutifiora	Yes	Yes	¢.	ć	Yes	ċ	No	Low food assimila- tion by FAW larvae. Survival not tested	et al. 2010; Bra- man et al. 2014; Midega et al.
Panicum maximum cv. Mombasa	No	No	No	Yes	ć	Yes	No	15% survival rate of FAW	2015; Cheruryot et al. 2018, 2021b, a; LU et al. 2019;
Paspalum notatum	No	ċ	No	i	i	i	No	10-15% survival rate of FAW	Guera et al. 2020, 2021; Schei-
Pennisetum pur- pureum cv. Ouma II	No	No	Yes*	No ⁺	No	Yes	No	*Does not retain FAW larvae. ⁺ Very low survival rate of stemborers	uegget et al. 2021, Flausino et al. 2022; Sobhy et al. 2022; Erdei et al. 2022)
Pennisetum pur- pureum cv. South Africa	No	No	Yes*	No ⁺	No	Yes	No	*Does not retain FAW larvae. ⁺ Very low survival rate of stemborers	
Vetiveria zizanioides	No	No	ć	Yes*	Yes	¢.	No	*Dead-end for Chilo partellus and C. suppressalis but not Busseola fusca	
High food value plants co	ommonly interc	ropped with cereals							
Amaranthus spp.	No	No	No	No	ż	Yes	No		(Reddy 2017)
Cleome gynandra	No	No	No	No	\$	÷	No	Repellent to spider mites (<i>Tetra-</i> nychus spp.)	

Table 2 (continued)									
Plant	Repels FAW adults	Repels stemborer adults	Dead-end trap for FAW larvae	Dead-end trap for stemborer larvae	Attractive to parasitic wasps	Attractive to other natural enemies	Nematicidal effect	Comments	References
Cucurbita spp.	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No		(García González et al. 2010, 2013, 2022; Baudron et al. 2019)
Arachis hypogaea	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	ı	(Reddy 2017; Mon-
Cajanus cajan	No	No	No	No	ż	ż	No	1	tezano et al. 2018; Hailu et al. 2018;
Crotalaria longiro- strata	ć	ć	<i>.</i>	¢.	ć	ż	ć		Scheidegger et al. 2021; Udayaku-
Crotalaria pumila	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ż	ı	mar et al. 2021)
Glycine max	No	No	No	No	د.	Yes	No	Inferior to other studied legumes in controlling stembor- ers and FAW	
Phaseolus spp.	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	1	
Vicia faba	No	No	No	No	ż	Yes	No	ı	
Vigna radiata	No	No	No	No	?	ż	No	ı	
Vigna subterranea	No	No	No	No	?	2	No	ı	
Vigna unguiculata	No	No	No	No	ż	ż	No	1	

show repellency against FAW, they could be considered candidates in addition to *Desmodium* spp. in *milpa push-pull* systems in Africa and America. Other *Crotalaria* spp. such as *C. grahamiana* have already been studied because of their potential usefulness e.g., in reducing Striga weed (Gacheru and Rao 2005). But to our knowledge, no study other than Guera et al. (2021) has focused on *Crotalaria* spp. repellency as intercrops toward lepidopteran pests of cereals so far. Similarly to *Desmodium* spp. in America, the potential of *Crotalaria* spp. as lepidopteran repellents in push-pull systems has to date been largely ignored in Africa, even though its potential to control striga weed has been previously studied (Khan et al. 2007).

Another prime candidate companion crop for the milpa push-pull system is pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan, Fabaceae). It is an important tropical grain legume traditionally cultivated in many parts of Africa, Asia, and the Americas (Saxena 2008). Pigeon pea is known for multiple benefits, and its compatibility with cereal crops in intercropping, perennial and rotational cropping, or improved fallow practices are also well documented (Abunyewa and Karbo 2005; Mwila et al. 2021). Intercropping maize with pigeon pea increases crop productivity (Myaka et al. 2006; Ngwira et al. 2012; Mwila et al. 2021), resulting in a land equivalent ratio of up to 1.77 (Asiwe and Madimabe 2020). It is a highly edible crop to humans and livestock, rich in protein and other essential nutrients, which can provide nutritional and economic benefits to farmers (Odeny 2007). Moreover, many cultivars of pigeon pea have drought-resistant and nitrogenfixing qualities (Njira et al. 2017). As a hardy plant, some cultivars possess traits to thrive in drought and low fertility conditions, making the crop an ideal "climate-proof" crop for farmers in drought-prone regions (Valenzuela and Smith 2002; Renwick et al. 2020). Pigeon pea has proven to be a reliable source of grain yield, even during prolonged dry spells when other field legumes may have withered (Odeny 2007). With nitrogen fixation by pigeon pea intercrop, farmers can improve soil fertility and reduce the need for synthetic fertilizers, which can be expensive and also harmful to the environment.

Studies have tested the potential of pigeon pea as a trap companion crop for reducing crop damage by polyphagous lepidopterans such as *Helicoverpa (Heliothis)* spp. (Tann 2011; Ratnadass et al. 2014), which are serious pests of many field crops including pulses, vegetables (e.g., tomato and okra), cotton, some cereals, and oilseeds (Ali et al. 2006; Bentivenha et al. 2016). A push-pull strategy was reported for controlling *Heliocoverpa (Heliothis)* pests in cotton fields, which involved the use of a repellent (neem extract) to "push" the moths away from the cotton plants and "pull" them towards the trap crop (pigeon pea) (Pyke et al. 1987). Moreover, cereal-pigeon pea intercropping has potential to reduce Striga weed infestations (Oswald and Ransom 2001; Odeny 2007), although it is inferior to *Desmodium distortum*, *Sesbania sesban*, *S. cinerascens*, *Crotalaria grahamiana*, and *Tephrosia vogelii* to reduce Striga attack and increase maize yields (Gacheru and Rao 2005).

While the aforementioned points provide support for integration of pigeon pea into *milpa push-pull* systems, it is important to note that selecting the appropriate pigeon pea varieties is crucial, as certain short-duration varieties may be susceptible to insect pest attack (Jones et al. 2002; Kaoneka et al. 2016). Shading and light competition effects of some perennial cultivars on other crops may also raise concerns, but implementing timely ratooning could make an effective management strategy (Rusinamhodzi et al. 2017). Nonetheless, realizing the full benefits of pigeon pea in *milpa push-pull* systems calls for further research and development.

Four other plants have high potential for *milpa push-pull*, namely molasses grass (Melinis minutiflora), Vetiver grass (Vetiveria zizanioides), Amaranth (Amaranthus spp.), spider plant (Cleome gynandra Synonym: Gynandropsis gynandra). In the absence of striga weed, molasses grass has been recommended as an alternative repellent (i.e., push) plant in Africa to fight stemborers (Storkey et al. 2019) and FAW (Cheruiyot et al. 2021b), despite its lack of the nitrogenfixing benefits of legumes. The potential of M. minutiflora intercrop as a "push" plant in PPT has been previously investigated (Khan et al. 1997). *M. minutiflora* integration as a one-to-one intercrop without changing the row spacing of maize crop reduced stemborer damage from 39.2 to 4.6% (Khan et al. 1997). M. minutiflora intercrop:crop ratio can even be reduced to a ratio of 1:10 and still produce significant stemborer reduction (Khan et al. 2000). As Tolosa et al. (2019) noted, intact M. minutiflora neighbouring maize field releases volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that repel stemborers from maize and enhance parasitism by Cotesia sesamiae (Cameron). Erdei et al. 2022 also reported that M. minutiflora constitutively releases a variety of terpenes with the ability to deter common maize pests, and this further reinforces its potential as a viable alternative to Desmodium intercrop where Striga weed is less prevalent. M. minutiflora has also been successfully used as "push" intercrop to the sugarcane stemborer (Eldana saccharina) and attractant of one of its parasitoid natural enemies (Xanthopimpla stemmator Thunberg (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) (Cockburn et al. 2014). Outside of its native range, the use of M. minutiflora should be discouraged due to its high invasive potential (Hoffmann et al. 2004; Hoffmann and Haridasan 2008).

Vetiver grass has been found to be a good trap plant for *Chilo partellus* in Africa (van den Berg 2006b), it is also important in preventing soil erosion and has value as animal feed (Truong et al. 2008). Additionally, Vetiver grass attracts several parasitic wasps such as *Telenomus* spp. and *Trichogramma* spp. (Lu et al. 2019). In terms of food diversity, Amaranth (*Amaranthus* spp.) adapts well to multicropping



systems such as the *milpa* and is highly appreciated and used in Africa and America for its nutritional value (Fomsgaard et al. 2011; Alemayehu et al. 2015). Nonetheless, caution should be given to the fact that Amaranthus spp. are a host of African Spodoptera spp. such as S. littoralis and S. exigua (Fiaboe et al. 2017). Cleome gynandra is another nutritious and popular plant that could be incorporated into milpa push-pull systems in Africa, especially as a source of protein and vitamin A in regions where cucurbits are not preferred (Mishra et al. 2011). Cleome gynandra is a fastgrowing leafy vegetable that is widespread in West and Eastern Africa and is increasingly valued as a commercial crop (Achigan-Dako et al. 2021). Cleome gynandra is also effective as a repellent plant for spider mites (Tetranychus spp.), which represents a serious problem for the trap plant Brachiaria brizantha cv Mulato II (Nyalala and Grout 2007). However, C. gynandra has also been found to be an alternate host of Eurystylus oldi (Hemiptera, Miridae), a pest of sorghum (Ratnadass et al. 2012).

5 Remaining questions

There are several knowledge gaps that require further research in order to fully leverage the potential and adaptability of mixed push-pull and *milpa* systems for sustainably intensified smallholder cereal farming. In Tables 1, 2, and 3, we review and summarize the diversity of plants with a documented potential to play effective roles in intensified mixed cereal systems according to socio-cultural needs and local environmental pressures and conditions. As outlined above, some of these species have been tested for effective-ness under selected conditions, while others remain to be investigated. However, only a fraction of potentially promising interacting species is currently used in the context of traditional *milpa* and push-pull systems.

Through a qualitative literature review, we identified 30 plants that are either already classically employed in smallholder cereal mixed cropping systems in America and Africa, or have been referenced and/or tested for their potential to play an active role in these systems (Table 1). Among these, species combinations with high potential effectiveness for various agroecologies remain to be trialed (Tables 2 and 3). For example, possible repellent effects of Crotalaria spp. apart from C. juncea on FAW are not yet known, especially C. longirostrata and C. pumila in Latin America and C. brevidens and C. ochroleuca in Africa. With ongoing climate change, FAW invasion may reach other regions of the world such as Europe and Canada that were previously too cold for its survival. Striga is also forecast to attack the south of Europe (Mohamed et al. 2006). Therefore, research on European maize and other cereal production, such as wheat (Vasey et al. 2005), could focus on agricultural strategies to prevent the likelihood of crop damage due to these threats in the near future. *Spodoptera* spp., *Helicoverpa* spp., and *Agrotis ipsilon* are cereal crop pests in both America and Africa which opens opportunities for further cooperation and research on best practices for biological pest control enhancement (Tay et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2019; Hruska 2019; Rodingpuia and Lalthanzara 2021).

Molasses grass is known to repel adult stemborer and FAW moths, limiting oviposition in their main host maize (Storkey et al. 2019; Cheruiyot et al. 2021b). Moreover, similarly to what Erdei et al (2022) observed with *Desmodium* spp., Cheruiyot et al. (2021b) have recently demonstrated that *M. minutiflora* is the only plant preferred by FAW larvae over maize among the six potential pull grasses tested, although it is not suitable for larval development. Considering that after hatching FAW larvae can move to alternative hosts (Rojas et al. 2018), Cheruiyot et al. 2021b propose a novel intercropping design based on FAW larval behavior and preferences that remains to be tested.

The poor performance of the trap plants tested so far for FAW, which fail to preferentially attract adult FAW moths over maize and/or to retain and kill FAW larvae (Guera et al. 2020; Cheruiyot et al. 2021b), highlights the necessity to test other species for this purpose. This could be an opportunity to experiment with plants that, beyond the function of trap plants for FAW, may also have value as a food source. In particular, millets from the genera Panicum, Pennisetum, and *Paspalum* may be explored, as species within these groups are recognized for their nutritional value and broadly planted in various regions of the world (e.g., Proso millet Panicum miliaceum, little millet Panicum sumatrense, Sonoran panicgrass Panicum sonorum, pearl millet Pennisetum glaucum and Kodo millet Paspalum scrobiculatum). Some species in these genera are known to control FAW to some degree, including Panicum maximum, Pennisetum purpureum, Paspalum ionanthum, and Paspalum notatum (Tables 1, 2, and 3) (Braman et al. 2014; Guera et al. 2020; Cheruiyot et al. 2021b).

An important open question also remains about the effects of different densities of push plants on maize pest infestation, particularly *Desmodium* spp. and the FAW attack but also *Crotalaria* spp. (Dzvene et al. 2022). If a lower density of *Desmodium* is sufficient to repel FAW, higher flexibility may be offered for the integration of other food plants with the *Desmodium* intercrop. However, no studies are currently available testing the impact of varying *Desmodium* planting ratios on pest repellence. Although the optimum plant densities for maize-beans, maize-squash, and maize-beans-squash are well understood (Altieri 1999; Silwana and Lucas 2002), further research is needed in order

Table 3 Weed control and soil properties of candidate plant species for *milpa push-pull*. Identified or potential "push" and "pull" properties refer to plants' action on lepidopteran pests, with "push" indicating a repellent and "pull" an attractive effect on pests, respectively.

These traits are described in more detail in Table 2. Some plants found to have both "push" and "pull" activity are listed in both categories. Question marks indicate knowledge gaps

Plant	Provokes Striga germination	Prevents Striga radi- cle development	Other weed prevention	N-fixation	Erosion control	Comments	References
Plants with identified or p	otential "push" pro	operties for lepidopteran	pests				
Dysphania ambro- sioides	No	No	No	No	No	-	(Guera et al. 2020, 2021)
Tagetes erecta	No	No	No	No	No	-	
Clitoria ternatea	Yes	?	?	Yes	No	-	(Njunie et al. 2022)
Crotalaria brevidens	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	(Mwakha et al. 2020)
Crotalaria juncea	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Very effective at com- petitively displacing weeds	(Fischer et al. 2020; Guera et al. 2020, 2021; Bundit et al. 2021)
Crotalaria longiro- strata	Yes	?	?	Yes	?	-	(Morton 1994)
Crotalaria ochroleuca	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	(Mwakha et al. 2020)
Crotalaria pumila	Yes	?	?	Yes	?	-	(Manzanero-Medina et al. 2020)
Desmodium incanum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	(Khan et al. 2014; Midega
Desmodium intortum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	et al. 2017; Cheruiyot
Desmodium unci- natum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	et al. 2021a)
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Mulato II'	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	(Cheruiyot et al. 2021b)
Melinis minutiflora	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	(Cheruiyot et al. 2021b, a; Erdei et al. 2022)
Plants with identified or p	otential "pull" pro	perties for lepidopteran	pests				
Desmodium intortum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	(Khan et al. 2014; Midega
Desmodium unci- natum	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	et al. 2017)
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Mulato II'	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	(Truong et al. 2008; Tolosa et al. 2019;
Brachiaria brizantha cv. 'Xaraes'	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	Guera et al. 2020; Cheruiyot et al. 2021b,
Melinis minutiflora	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	a)
Panicum maximum cv. Mombasa	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	
Paspalum notatum	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	
Pennisetum pur- pureum cv. Ouma II	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	
<i>Pennisetum pur- pureum</i> cv. South Africa	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	
Vetiveria zizanioides	No	No	No	No	Yes	-	
High food value plants co	mmonly intercropp	ped with cereals					
Amaranthus spp.	No	No	No	No	No	-	(Alemayehu et al. 2015; Reddy 2017)
Cleome gynandra	No	No	?	No	No	-	(Reddy 2017)
Cucurbita spp.	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	-	(Novotny et al. 2021; Lopez-Ridaura et al. 2021)

 Table 3 (continued)

Plant	Provokes Striga germination	Prevents Striga radi- cle development	Other weed prevention	N-fixation	Erosion control	Comments	References
Arachis hypogaea	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	(Khan et al. 2007; Ndayi-
Cajanus cajan	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	saba et al. 2020)
Crotalaria longiro- strata	Yes	No	No	Yes	?	-	
Crotalaria pumila	Yes	No	No	Yes	?	-	
Glycine max	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	
Phaseolus spp.	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	
Vicia faba	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	
Vigna radiata	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	
Vigna subterranea	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	
Vigna unguiculata	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	-	

to integrate other plant combinations with optimal densities and configurations. Also, further research on adequate planting timings in intercrops is needed because this can have major impacts on yield and pest damage (Altieri 1980).

Finally, studies that exploit the apparent incongruence between larval and adult FAW host selection are needed to maximize the efficiency of integrated pest management strategies (Rojas et al. 2018; Sotelo-Cardona et al. 2021). Furthermore, context-dependent effects and non-trivial relationships among natural enemies must be further explored when selecting appropriate species for intercropping.

6 Conclusion

The Mesoamerican *milpa* and East African PPT systems have the potential to complement each other and promote sustainable food production, but there has been little to no cross-system learning to date. We reviewed extant literature on both systems and present the likely advantages of combining them in small-scale farming in the Americas and sub-Saharan Africa. Designing effective combinations of *milpa* with PPT has the potential to reduce poverty, address food security challenges and provide farmers with increased flexibility to adapt and rotate crops. This can be achieved by merging the respective benefits of different companion plants for crop protection against pests, increased food production, and diversified nutrition, along with improved soil moisture, fertility, and other benefits such as fodder production. The larger range of possibilities provided by the milpa push-pull system to adapt the composition and configuration of its components is likely to increase the resilience of smallholders to socio-environmental challenges. Based on existing knowledge of both cropping systems, we show that there is a



large potential for such configurations to be highly adaptive according to the key pressures influencing production in different regions. Through the selection of plant species and cultivars with specific traits, integrated *milpa push-pull* systems could be adapted to different gradients of altitude, rainfall, and soil nutrient levels. Milpa push-pull is likely to combine the benefits of the *milpa* and push-pull systems to robustly increase yields, yield stability, and soil fertility in the long term, even under predicted scenarios of climate change and increasing pest pressure. Co-development and testing of the milpa push-pull concept by farmers and scientists will bring further insight into its effectiveness and optimal design. We conclude that a fruitful exchange of knowledge on sustainable and traditional cropping systems practiced by smallholders in different parts of the world is an essential approach for key insights and inspiration toward further development of biodiversity-friendly farming practices with a high capacity for resilience and social-ecological adaptation.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme, under Grant Agreement no. 861998 (UPSCALE: Upscaling the benefits of push-pull technology for sustainable agricultural intensification of East Africa). The authors are also grateful to Andrés González, Patricia Lima, Rafael Embid and Emiliano Bianchi.

Authors' contributions FLE wrote the initial draft. AO and EM contributed extensively to the conceptualization and development of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the writing, revision, and editing of the final manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This paper is supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 861998, project UPSCALE (Upscaling the benefits of push-pull technology for sustainable agricultural intensification in East Africa).

Data availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abang AF, Nanga SN, Fotso Kuate A et al (2021) Natural enemies of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in different agro-ecologies. Insects 12:509. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/insects12060509
- Abunyewa AA, Karbo KN (2005) Improved fallow with pigeon pea for soil fertility improvement and to increase maize production in a smallholder crop–livestock farming system in the subhumid zone of Ghana. Land Degrad Dev 16:447–454. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ldr.672
- Achigan-Dako EG, Sogbohossou DEO, Houdegbe CA et al (2021) Ten years of *Gynandropsis gynandra* research for improvement of nutrient-rich leaf consumption : lessons learnt and way forwards. Annu Plant Rev Online 4:767–812. https://doi.org/10. 1002/9781119312994.apr0774
- Agbodzavu MK, Lagat ZO, Gikungu M et al (2018) Performance of the newly identified endoparasitoid *Cotesia icipe* Fernandez-Triana & Fiaboe on *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval). J Appl Entomol 142:646–653. https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12514
- Aldama JM, Plata FS, Bordi IV, Guevara MR (2015) Estrategias para la producción de maíz frente a los impactos del cambio climático. Rev Cienc Soc 21:538–547. https://doi.org/10.31876/rcs.v21i4. 25750
- Alemayehu FR, Bendevis MA, Jacobsen S-E (2015) The potential for utilizing the seed crop amaranth (*Amaranthus* spp.) in East Africa as an alternative crop to support food security and climate change mitigation. J Agron Crop Sci 201:321–329. https://doi. org/10.1111/jac.12108
- Ali MI, Luttrell RG, Young SY III (2006) Susceptibilities of *Helicoverpa zea* and *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations to Cry1Ac insecticidal protein. J Econ Entomol 99:164–175. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/99.1.164
- Allen T, Kenis M, Norgrove L (2021) *Eiphosoma laphygmae*, a classical solution for the biocontrol of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*? J Plant Dis Prot 128:1141–1156. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s41348-021-00480-9

- Altieri MA (1980) Diversification of corn agroecosystems as a means of regulating fall armyworm populations. Fla Entomol 63:450. https://doi.org/10.2307/3494529
- Altieri MA (1999) Applying agroecology to enhance the productivity of peasant farming systems in Latin America. Environ Dev Sustain 1:197–217. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010078923050
- Altieri MA, Funes-Monzote FR, Petersen P (2012) Agroecologically efficient agricultural systems for smallholder farmers: contributions to food sovereignty. Agron Sustain Dev 32:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-011-0065-6
- Altieri MA, Nicholls CI (2003) Soil fertility management and insect pests: harmonizing soil and plant health in agroecosystems. Soil Tillage Res 72:203–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(03) 00089-8
- Asiwe JNA, Madimabe KS (2020) Performance and economic prospect of pigeonpea varieties in pigeonpea-maize strip intercropping in Limpopo Province. Int J Agric Biol 25:20–26. https://doi.org/10. 17957/IJAB/15.1633
- Baudron F, Zaman-Allah MA, Chaipa I et al (2019) Understanding the factors influencing fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith) damage in African smallholder maize fields and quantifying its impact on yield. A case study in Eastern Zimbabwe. Crop Prot 120:141–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.01.028
- Bebber DP (2015) Range-expanding Pests and pathogens in a warming world. Annu Rev Phytopathol 53:335–356. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080614-120207
- Bentivenha JP, Paula-Moraes SV, Baldin EL, et al (2016) Battle in the new world: *Helicoverpa armigera* versus *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Plos One 11:e0167182. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0167182
- Bianchi FJJA, Booij CJH, Tscharntke T (2006) Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 273:1715–1727. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3530
- Blanco CA, Chiaravalle W, Dalla-Rizza M et al (2016) Current situation of pests targeted by Bt crops in Latin America. Curr Opin Insect Sci 15:131–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2016.04.012
- Blanco CA, Pellegaud JG, Nava-Camberos U et al (2014) Maize pests in mexico and challenges for the adoption of integrated pest management programs. J Integr Pest Manag 5:E1–E9. https://doi.org/ 10.1603/IPM14006
- Braman SK, Raymer PL, Harrison-Dunn M, Nair S (2014) Antibiosis among selected *Paspalum* taxa to the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Entomol Sci 49:11–20. https://doi.org/10. 18474/0749-8004-49.1.11
- Bruce TJA, Midega CAO, Birkett MA et al (2010) Is quality more important than quantity? Insect behavioural responses to changes in a volatile blend after stemborer oviposition on an African grass. Biol Lett 6:314–317. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2009.0953
- Bundit A, Ostlie M, Prom-U-Thai C (2021) Sunn hemp (*Crotalaria juncea*) weed suppression and allelopathy at different timings. Biocontrol Sci Technol 31:694–704. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09583157.2021.1881446
- Caamal-Maldonado JA, Jiménez-Osornio JJ, Torres-Barragán A, Anaya AL (2001) The use of allelopathic legume cover and mulch species for weed control in cropping systems. Agron J 93:27–36. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2001.93127x
- Carvalho GA, Miranda JC, Moura AP et al (2005) Controle do Leucoptera coffeella (Guérin-Méneville, Perrottet, 1842)(Lepidoptera: Lyonetiidae) com inseticidas granulados e seus efeitos sobre vespas predadoras e parasitóides. Arq Inst Biol 72:63–72. https:// doi.org/10.1590/1808-1657v72p0632005
- Chaplin-Kramer R, O'Rourke ME, Blitzer EJ, Kremen C (2011) A meta-analysis of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity: pest and natural enemy response to landscape



complexity. Ecol Lett 14:922–932. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1461-0248.2011.01642.x

- Chen YH, Shapiro LR, Benrey B, Cibrián-Jaramillo A (2017) Back to the origin: in situ studies are needed to understand selection during crop diversification. Front Ecol Evol 5:125. https://doi. org/10.3389/fevo.2017.00125
- Cherniwchan J, Moreno-Cruz J (2019) Maize and precolonial Africa. J Dev Econ 136:137–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018. 10.008
- Cheruiyot D, Midega CAO, Van den Berg J et al (2018) Suitability of brachiaria grass as a trap crop for management of Chilo partellus. Entomol Exp Appl 166:139–148. https://doi.org/10.1111/ eea.12651
- Cheruiyot D, Chidawanyika F, Midega CAO et al (2021a) Field evaluation of a new third generation push-pull technology for control of striga weed, stemborers, and fall armyworm in western Kenya. Exp Agric 57:301–315. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014 479721000260
- Cheruiyot D, Chiriboga Morales X, Chidawanyika F et al (2021b) Potential roles of selected forage grasses in management of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) through companion cropping. Entomol Exp Appl 169:966–974. https://doi.org/10.1111/ eea.13083
- Chidawanyika F, Muriithi B, Niassy S et al (2023) Sustainable intensification of vegetable production using the cereal 'push-pull technology': benefits and one health implications. Environ Sustain. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-023-00260-1
- Cinel SD, Taylor SJ (2019) Prolonged bat call exposure induces a broad transcriptional response in the male fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*; Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Brain. Front Behav Neurosci 13:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00036
- Clarkson J, Borah JR, Baudron F, Sunderland TCH (2022) Forest proximity positively affects natural enemy mediated control of fall armyworm in Southern Africa. Front For Glob Change 5:. https:// doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2022.781574
- Cockburn J, Coetzee H, Van den Berg J, Conlong D (2014) Largescale sugarcane farmers' knowledge and perceptions of *Eldana* saccharina Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), push–pull and integrated pest management. Crop Prot 56:1–9. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cropro.2013.10.014
- Colmenarez YC, Babendreier D, Ferrer Wurst FR, et al (2022) The use of *Telenomus remus* (Nixon, 1937) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) in the management of *Spodoptera* spp.: potential, challenges and major benefits. CABI Agric Biosci 3:5. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s43170-021-00071-6
- Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA (2007) The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu Rev Entomol 52:375–400. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.52.110405.091407
- Crosa M, Oliveira A, Goyenola R, Frioni L (1999) Comportamiento simbiótico en *Desmodium incanum* en Uruguay. Agrociencia-Sitio En Repar 3:38–43. https://doi.org/10.2477/vol3iss1pp38-43
- Davis T, Day R, Early R, et al (2018) Fall armyworm: impacts and implications for Africa. CABI Evid Note Update CABI Publ Wallingford Oxfs UK 26. https://doi.org/10.1564/v28_oct_02
- De Groote H, Vanlauwe B, Rutto E et al (2010) Economic analysis of different options in integrated pest and soil fertility management in maize systems of Western Kenya. Agric Econ 41:471–482. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2010.00459.x
- de Lange ES, Balmer D, Mauch-Mani B, Turlings TCJ (2014) Insect and pathogen attack and resistance in maize and its wild ancestors, the teosintes. New Phytol 204:329–341. https://doi.org/10. 1111/nph.13005
- Do Nascimento DD, Vidal RL, Pimenta AA et al (2020) Crotalaria and millet as alternative controls of root-knot nematodes infecting okra. Biosci J:713–719. https://doi.org/10.14393/BJ-v36n3 a2020-42248

- Drinkwater LE, Midega CAO, Awuor R et al (2021) Perennial legume intercrops provide multiple below ground ecosystem services in smallholder farming systems. Agric Ecosyst Environ 320:107566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107566
- Dzvene AR, Tesfuhuney W, Walker S, Ceronio G (2022) Effects of intercropping sunn hemp into maize at different times and densities on productivity under rainwater harvesting technique. Front Sustain Food Syst 6:. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.1009443
- Ebel R, Pozas Cárdenas JG, Soria Miranda F, Cruz González J (2017) Manejo orgánico de la milpa: rendimiento de maíz, frijol y calabaza en monocultivo y policultivo. Rev TERRA Latinoam 35:149. https://doi.org/10.28940/terra.v35i2.166
- Erdei AL, David AB, Savvidou EC, et al (2022) The push-pull intercrop *Desmodium* does not repel, but intercepts and kills pests. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.08.482778
- Espinosa-Cristia JF, Feregrino J, Isla P (2019) Emerging, and old, dilemmas for food security in Latin America. J Public Aff 19:e1999. https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.1999
- Fernandes FL, Sena FME, Picanco MC et al (2010) Coffee volatiles and predatory wasps (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) of the coffee leaf miner Leucoptera coffeella. Sociobiology 56:455–464
- Fiaboe KKM, Fernández-Triana J, Nyamu FW, Agbodzavu KM (2017) Cotesia icipe sp. n., a new Microgastrinae wasp (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) of importance in the biological control of Lepidopteran pests in Africa. J Hymenopt Res 61:49–64. https://doi.org/ 10.3897/jhr.61.21015
- Fininsa C (2003) Relationship between common bacterial blight severity and bean yield loss in pure stand and bean-maize intercropping systems. Int J Pest Manag 49:177–185. https://doi.org/10. 1080/0967087021000049269
- Fischler M (2010) Impact assessment of push-pull technology promoted by icipe and partners in eastern africa. International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology/icipe Science Press
- Fischer J, Böhm H, Heβ J (2020) Maize-bean intercropping yields in Northern Germany are comparable to those of pure silage maize. Eur J Agron 112:125947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2019.125947
- Flausino BF, Machado CFM, Silva JHC et al (2022) Intercropping maize with brachiaria can be a double-edged sword strategy. Pest Manag Sci 78:5243–5250. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7143
- Fomsgaard IS, Anon C, de la Rosa APB, et al (2011) Adding value to holy grain-providing the key tools for the exploitation of amaranth, the protein-rich grain of the Aztecs: results from a joint European-Latin American research project. In: Abstracts of papers of the American Chemical Societey. Amer Chemical Soc 1155 16th st, NW, Washington, DC 20036 USA
- Gacheru E, Rao MR (2005) The potential of planted shrub fallows to combat Striga infestation on maize. Int J Pest Manag 51:91–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670870400028292
- Galdos MV, Brown E, Rosolem CA et al (2020) *Brachiaria* species influence nitrate transport in soil by modifying soil structure with their root system. Sci Rep 10:5072. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-61986-0
- García González MT, Coca LIR, Cancio YF, et al (2022) Biodiversidad de insectos en sistemas de policultivos de maíz (*Zea mays* L.): Ecosistemas 2400–2400. https://doi.org/10.7818/ECOS.2400
- García González MT, Rojas JAR, González LC, Jiménez DE (2010) Policultivo (maíz-calabaza) en el control de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) en Fomento, Sancti Spiritus. Cent. Agríc. 37:57–64
- García González MT, Rojas Rojas JA, Castellanos González L et al (2013) Policultivos para el manejo de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) en maíz en un agroecosistema pre montañoso. Cent. Agríc. 40:41–45
- Gazal A, Ahmed Z, Lone A, Baba A (2018) Breeding climate change resilient maize and wheat for food security. Retrieved from: https://journals.aesacademy.org/index.php/aaes/article/view/ 02-02-013



- Gebreziher HG (2020) Review on management methods of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* JE Smith) in Sub-Saharan Africa. Int J Entomol Res 5:09-14. Retrieved from: https://www.entom ologyjournals.com/archives/2020/vol5/issue2/5-1–33
- Germani G, Plenchette C (2005) Potential of Crotalaria species as green manure crops for the management of pathogenic nematodes and beneficial mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Soil 266:333–342. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-2281-9
- Gianessi LP (2013) The increasing importance of herbicides in worldwide crop production. Pest Manag Sci 69:1099–1105. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3598
- Granada CE, Strochein M, Vargas LK, et al (2014) Genetic diversity and symbiotic compatibility among rhizobial strains and *Desmodium incanum* and *Lotus* spp. plants. Genet Mol Biol 37:396– 405. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572014000300012
- Guera OGM, Castrejón-Ayala F, Robledo N et al (2020) Plant selection for the establishment of push-pull strategies for Zea mays-Spodoptera frugiperda pathosystem in Morelos. Mexico. Insects 11:349. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11060349
- Guera OGM, Castrejón-Ayala F, Robledo N et al (2021) Effectiveness of push–pull systems to fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) management in maize crops in Morelos. Mexico. Insects 12:298. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12040298
- Hadden WL, Watkins RH, Levy LW et al (1999) Carotenoid composition of marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) flower extract used as nutritional supplement. J Agric Food Chem 47:4189–4194. https:// doi.org/10.1021/jf990096k
- Hailu G, Niassy S, Zeyaur KR et al (2018) Maize-legume intercropping and push-pull for management of fall armyworm, stemborers, and Striga in Uganda. Agron J 110:2513–2522. https://doi.org/ 10.2134/agronj2018.02.0110
- Harrison RD, Thierfelder C, Baudron F et al (2019) Agro-ecological options for fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* JE Smith) management: Providing low-cost, smallholder friendly solutions to an invasive pest. J Environ Manage 243:318–330. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.05.011
- Hassanali A, Herren H, Khan ZR, et al (2008) Integrated pest management: the push-pull approach for controlling insect pests and weeds of cereals, and its potential for other agricultural systems including animal husbandry. Phil Trans R Soc B 363:611–621. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2173
- Held DW, Wheeler C, Abraham CM, Pickett KM (2008) Paper wasps (*Polistes* spp.) attacking fall armyworm larvae (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in turfgrass. Appl Turfgrass Sci 5:1–5. https://doi.org/ 10.1094/ATS-2008-0806-01-RS
- Hoffmann WA, Haridasan M (2008) The invasive grass, *Melinis minutiflora*, inhibits tree regeneration in a Neotropical savanna. Austral Ecol 33:29–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01787.x
- Hoffmann WA, Lucatelli VM, Silva FJ et al (2004) Impact of the invasive alien grass *Melinis minutiflora* at the savanna-forest ecotone in the Brazilian Cerrado. Divers Distrib 10:99–103. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2004.00063.x
- Hooper AM, Caulfield JC, Hao B et al (2015) Isolation and identification of *Desmodium* root exudates from drought tolerant species used as intercrops against *Striga hermonthica*. Phytochemistry 117:380–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.06.026
- Hruska AJ (2019) Fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda) management by smallholders. CAB Rev Perspect Agric Vet Sci Nutr Nat Resour 14:. https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR201914043
- Hüber C, Zettl F, Hartung J, Müller-Lindenlauf M (2022) The impact of maize-bean intercropping on insect biodiversity. Basic Appl Ecol 61:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2022.03.005
- Imathiu S (2021) Neglected and underutilized cultivated crops with respect to indigenous African leafy vegetables for food and nutrition security. J Food Secur 9:115–125. https://doi.org/10.12691/ jfs-9-3-4

- Iqbal N, Hussain S, Zhang X-W et al (2018) Imbalance water deficit improves the seed yield and quality of soybean. Agronomy 8:168. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy8090168
- ISAAA (2018) Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops in 2018: biotech crops continue to help meet the challenges of increased population and climate change. ISAAA Brief No 54 ISAAA
- Jones CM, Parry H, Tay WT et al (2019) Movement Ecology of Pest *Helicoverpa*: implications for ongoing spread. Annu Rev Entomol 64:277–295. https://doi.org/10.1146/annur ev-ento-011118-111959
- Jones R, Freeman HA, Monaco GL (2002) Improving the access of small farmers in eastern and southern Africa to global pigeonpea markets. Agricultural Research and Extension Network Paper No. 120. Agricultural Research & Extension Network
- Jordon MW, Hackett TD, Aboagye-Antwi F et al (2022) Effects of distance from semi-natural habitat on fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*, J. E. Smith) and its potential natural enemies in Ghana. Bull Entomol Res 112:343–353. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0007485321000894
- Kaoneka SR, Saxena RK, Silim SN et al (2016) Pigeonpea breeding in eastern and southern Africa: challenges and opportunities. Plant Breed 135:148–154. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12340
- Kenis M, Benelli G, Biondi A, et al (2022) Invasiveness, biology, ecology, and management of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. Entomol Gen. https://doi.org/10.1127/entomologia/ 2022/1659
- Kenis M, du Plessis H, Van den Berg J et al (2019) Telenomus remus, a candidate parasitoid for the biological control of Spodoptera frugiperda in Africa, is already present on the continent. Insects 10:92. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10040092
- Khan ZR, Ampong-Nyarko K, Chiliswa P et al (1997) Intercropping increases parasitism of pests. Nature 388:631–632. https://doi. org/10.1038/41681
- Khan ZR, Hassanali A, Pickett JA, et al (2003) Strategies for control of cereal stemborers and striga weed in maize-based farming systems in eastern Africa involving 'push-pull' and allelopathic tactics, respectively. Abstracts 6th Conference of the African Crop Science Society: Harnassing Crop Technologies to Alleviate Hunger and Poverty in Africa, Nairobi, 12-17 October 2003. p 7
- Khan ZR, Midega CAO, Hutter NJ et al (2006) Assessment of the potential of Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) varieties as trap plants for management of Chilo partellus. Entomol Exp Appl 119:15–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2006.00393.x
- Khan ZR, Midega CAO, Hassanali A et al (2007) Assessment of different legumes for the control of *Striga hermonthica* in maize and sorghum. Crop Sci 47:730–734. https://doi.org/10.2135/crops ci2006.07.0487
- Khan ZR, Midega CAO, Pittchar JO et al (2014) Achieving food security for one million sub-Saharan African poor through push–pull innovation by 2020. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 369:20120284. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0284
- Khan ZR, Midega CAO, Wanyama JM et al (2009) Integration of edible beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) into the push–pull technology developed for stemborer and Striga control in maize-based cropping systems. Crop Prot 28:997–1006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cropro.2009.05.014
- Khan ZR, Pickett JA (2008) Push-pull strategy for insect pest management. In: Capinera JL (ed) Encyclopedia of Entomology. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp 3074-3082. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978.14020.6359.6.3253
- Khan ZR, Pickett JA, van den Berg J et al (2000) Exploiting chemical ecology and species diversity: stem borer and striga control for maize and sorghum in Africa. Pest Manag Sci 56:957–962. https://doi.org/10.1002/1526-4998(200011)56:11%3c957::AID-PS236%3e3.0.CO;2-T



- Khan ZR, Pickett JA, Wadhams L, Muyekho F (2001) Habitat management strategies for the control of cereal stemborers and striga in maize in Kenya. Int J Trop Insect Sci 21:375–380. https://doi. org/10.1017/S1742758400008481
- Kirsch F, Hass AL, Link W, Westphal C (2023) Intercrops as foraging habitats for bees: Bees do not prefer sole legume crops over legume-cereal mixtures. Agric Ecosyst Environ 343:108268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2022.108268
- Koji S, Khan ZR, Midega C AO (2007) Field boundaries of Panicum maximum as a reservoir for predators and a sink for *Chilo partellus*. J Appl Entomol 131:186–196. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1439-0418.2006.01131.x
- Kushida A, Suwa N, Ueda Y, Momota Y (2003) Effects of Crotalaria juncea and C. spectabilis on hatching and population density of the soybean cyst nematode, Heterodera glycines (Tylenchida: Heteroderidae). Appl Entomol Zool 38:393–399. https://doi.org/ 10.1303/aez.2003.393
- Layek J, Das A, Mitran T, et al (2018) Cereal+ legume intercropping: an option for improving productivity and sustaining soil health. In: Legumes for soil health and sustainable management. Springer, pp 347-386. https://doi.org/10.1007/978.981. 13.0253.4.11
- Le Garff M (2017) Farmer's knowledge and perception of the milpa system: case study from Sololá region, Guatemala. Dissertation, Wageningen University
- le Roux MM, Boatwright JS, van Wyk B-E (2013) A global infrageneric classification system for the genus *Crotalaria* (Leguminosae) based on molecular and morphological evidence. TAXON 62:957–971. https://doi.org/10.12705/625.1
- Liao Y-L, Yang B, Xu M-F et al (2019) First report of *Telenomus remus* parasitizing *Spodoptera frugiperda* and its field parasitism in southern China. J Hymenopt Res 73:95–102. https://doi.org/ 10.3897/jhr.73.39136
- Lima MS, Silva PSL, Oliveira OF et al (2010) Corn yield response to weed and fall armyworm controls. Planta Daninha 28:103–111. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582010000100013
- Lopez-Ridaura S, Barba-Escoto L, Reyna-Ramirez CA, et al (2021) Maize intercropping in the milpa system. Diversity, extent and importance for nutritional security in the Western Highlands of Guatemala. Sci Rep 11:3696. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-021-82784-2
- Lowder SK, Sánchez MV, Bertini R (2021) Which farms feed the world and has farmland become more concentrated? World Dev 142:105455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105455
- Lu Y, Zheng X, Lu Z (2019) Application of vetiver grass Vetiveria zizanioides: Poaceae (L.) as a trap plant for rice stem borer Chilo suppressalis: Crambidae (Walker) in the paddy fields. J Integr Agric 18:797–804. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(18) 62088-X
- Ma X, Zheng C, Hu C et al (2011) The genus *Desmodium* (Fabaceae)traditional uses in Chinese medicine, phytochemistry and pharmacology. J Ethnopharmacol 138:314–332. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jep.2011.09.053
- Maereka EK, Madakadze RM, Nyakanda C (2009) Productivity and weed suppression in maize-pumpkin intercrops in small scale farming communities of Zimbabwe. In: Proc. 9th Afr. Crop Sci. Conference, Cape Town. pp 93–102
- Maine JJ, Boyles JG (2015) Bats initiate vital agroecological interactions in corn. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:12438–12443. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1505413112
- Mainka SA, Howard GW (2010) Climate change and invasive species: double jeopardy. Integr Zool 5:102–111. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1749-4877.2010.00193.x
- Maitra S, Shankar T, Banerjee P (2020) Potential and advantages of maize-legume intercropping system. Maize-Prod Use 1–14. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91722

- Manzanero-Medina GI, Vásquez-Dávila MA, Lustre-Sánchez H, Pérez-Herrera A (2020) Ethnobotany of food plants (quelites) sold in two traditional markets of Oaxaca, Mexico. South Afr J Bot 130:215–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2020.01.002
- Matova PM, Kamutando CN, Magorokosho C et al (2020) Fall-armyworm invasion, control practices and resistance breeding in Sub-Saharan Africa. Crop Sci 60:2951–2970. https://doi.org/10.1002/ csc2.20317
- Matsuoka Y, Vigouroux Y, Goodman MM et al (2002) A single domestication for maize shown by multilocus microsatellite genotyping. Proc Natl Acad Sci 99:6080–6084. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 052125199
- Maundu MP, Ngugi WG, Kabuye HSC (1999) Traditional food plants of Kenya. National Museums of Kenya
- McGuigan C, Reynolds R, Wiedmer D (2002) Poverty and climate change: assessing impacts in developing countries and the initiatives of the international community. Lond Sch Econ Consult Proj Overseas Dev Inst 1-40. Retrieved from https://odi.org/ en/publications/poverty-and-climate-change-assessing-impac ts-in-developing-countries-and-the-initiatives-of-the-internatio nal-community/
- Midega CAO, Bruce TJA, Pickett JA et al (2015) Climate-adapted companion cropping increases agricultural productivity in East Africa. Field Crops Res 180:118–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fcr.2015.05.022
- Midega CAO, Khan ZR, Van Den Berg J et al (2006) Maize stemborer predator activity under 'push – pull' system and Bt-maize: a potential component in managing Bt resistance. Int J Pest Manag 52:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670870600558650
- Midega CAO, Pittchar JO, Pickett JA et al (2018) A climate-adapted push-pull system effectively controls fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith), in maize in East Africa. Crop Prot 105:10–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.11.003
- Midega CAO, Wasonga CJ, Hooper AM et al (2017) Drought-tolerant Desmodium species effectively suppress parasitic striga weed and improve cereal grain yields in western Kenya. Crop Prot 98:94–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2017.03.018
- Mishra SS, Moharana SK, Dash MR (2011) Review on *Cleome gynandra*. Int J Res Pharm Chem 1:681-689. Retrieved from: http:// www.ijrpc.com/files/00062.pdf
- Mohamed KI, Papes M, Williams R et al (2006) Global invasive potential of 10 parasitic witchweeds and related orobanchaceae. Ambio 35:281–288. https://doi.org/10.1579/05-r-051r.1
- Mohamed SA, Wamalwa M, Obala F, et al (2021) A deadly encounter: alien invasive *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Africa and indigenous natural enemy, *Cotesia icipe* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). Plos One 16:e0253122. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253122
- Molina-Anzures MF, Chávez-Servia JL, Gil-Muñoz A, et al (2016) Eficiencias productivas de asociaciones de maíz, frijol y calabaza (*Curcurbita pepo* L.), intercaladas con árboles frutales. SciELO Argent 85:36-50. Retrieved from: http://www.scielo.org.ar/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext & pid=S1851-56572016000100010
- Molina-Ochoa J, Carpenter JE, Lezama-Gutiérrez R et al (2004) Natural distribution of hymenopteran parasitoids of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae in Mexico. Fla Entomol 87:461–472. https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040(2004)087[0461: NDOHPO]2.0.CO;2
- Montezano DG, Specht A, Sosa-Gómez DR et al (2018) Host Plants of Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the Americas. Afr Entomol 26:286–300. https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026. 0286
- Morales H, Perfecto I (2000) Traditional knowledge and pest management in the Guatemalan highlands. Agric Hum Values 17:49–63. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007680726231
- Morton JF (1994) Pito (*Erythrina berteroana*) and chipilin (*Crotalaria longirostrata*), (fabaceae) two soporific vegetables of Central



America. Econ Bot 48:130–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF029 08199

- Mucheru-Muna M, Pypers P, Mugendi D et al (2010) A staggered maize–legume intercrop arrangement robustly increases crop yields and economic returns in the highlands of Central Kenya. Field Crops Res 115:132–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2009. 10.013
- Muli JK, Neondo JO, Kamau PK, Budambula NLM (2020) Genetic diversity and use of African indigenous vegetables especially slender leaf. Int J Veg Sci 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/19315 260.2020.1829768
- Muoni T, Barnes AP, Öborn I et al (2019) Farmer perceptions of legumes and their functions in smallholder farming systems in east Africa. Int J Agric Sustain 17:205–218. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/14735903.2019.1609166
- Murage AW, Midega CAO, Pittchar JO et al (2015) Determinants of adoption of climate-smart push-pull technology for enhanced food security through integrated pest management in eastern Africa. Food Secur 7:709–724. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12571-015-0454-9
- Mutyambai DM, Bass E, Luttermoser T et al (2019) More than "push" and "pull"? plant-soil feedbacks of maize companion cropping increase chemical plant defenses against herbivores. Front Ecol Evol 7:217. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00217
- Mwakha FA, Budambula NLM, Neondo JO et al (2020) Witchweed's suicidal germination: can slenderleaf help? Agronomy 10:873. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10060873
- Mwila M, Mhlanga B, Thierfelder C (2021) Intensifying cropping systems through doubled-up legumes in Eastern Zambia. Sci Rep 11:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87594-0
- Myaka FM, Sakala WD, Adu-Gyamfi JJ et al (2006) Yields and accumulations of N and P in farmer-managed intercrops of maize– pigeonpea in semi-arid Africa. Plant Soil 285:207–220. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9006-6
- Ndayisaba PC, Kuyah S, Midega CAO et al (2020) Push-pull technology improves maize grain yield and total aboveground biomass in maize-based systems in Western Kenya. Field Crops Research 256:107911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.107911
- Ndoro OF, Madakadze RM, Kageler S, Mashingaidze AB (2007) Indigenous knowledge of the traditional vegetable pumpkin (*Cucurbita maxima/moschata*) from Zimbabwe. Afr J Agric Res 2:649-655. Retrieved from: https://academicjournals.org/journal/AJAR/ article-abstract/48A782837190
- Ngwira AR, Aune JB, Mkwinda S (2012) On-farm evaluation of yield and economic benefit of short term maize legume intercropping systems under conservation agriculture in Malawi. Field Crops Res 132:149–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.12.014
- Nicholls CI, Altieri MA (2004) Designing species-rich, pest-suppressive agroecosystems through habitat management. Agroecosystems Anal 43:49–61. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr43.c4
- Nicholls CI, Altieri MA (2013) Plant biodiversity enhances bees and other insect pollinators in agroecosystems. A Review. Agron Sustain Dev 33:257–274. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13593-012-0092-y
- Njira KO, Semu E, Mrema JP, Nalivata PC (2017) Biological nitrogen fixation by pigeon pea and cowpea in the doubled-up and other cropping systems on the Luvisols of Central Malawi. Afr J Agric Res 12:1341–1352. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2017.12167
- Njunie M, Muthiani E, Mzingirwa A et al (2022) Fodder crop adoption through Push-Pull Technology (PPT) for Fall Armyworm (FAW) control in cereals cropping systems. Int Grassl Congr Proc
- Novotny IP, Tittonell P, Fuentes-Ponce MH et al (2021) The importance of the traditional milpa in food security and nutritional self-sufficiency in the highlands of Oaxaca, Mexico. PLoS ONE 16:e0246281. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246281

- Nyalala S, Grout B (2007) African spider flower (*Cleome gynandra L.*/ *Gynandropsis gynandra* (L.) Briq.) as a red spider mite (*Tetra-nychus urticae* Koch) repellent in cut-flower rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) cultivation. Sci Hortic 114:194–198. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scienta.2007.06.010
- Odeny DA (2007) The potential of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) in Africa. In: Natural resources forum. Wiley Online Library, pp 297–305
- Ofomata VC, Overholt WA, Lux SA, et al (2000) Comparative studies on the fecundity, egg survival, larval feeding, and development of *Chilo partellus* and *Chilo orichalcociliellus* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) on Five Grasses. Annals Entomol Soc Am 93:492–499. https://doi.org/10.1603/0013-8746(2000)093[0492:CSOTFE] 2.0,CO:2
- Olabiyi TI, Oyedunmade EEA (2007) Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) as interplant with cowpea for the control of nematode pests. In: African Crop Science Conference Proceedings. pp 1075–1078
- Oluoch MO, Pichop GN, Silué D, et al (2009) Production and harvesting systems for African indigenous vegetables. In: African indigenous vegetables in urban agriculture. Routledge, pp 177–208
- Oswald A, Ransom JK (2001) Striga control and improved farm productivity using crop rotation. Crop Prot 20:113–120. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00063-6
- Parsons D, Ramírez-Aviles L, Cherney JH et al (2009) Managing maize production in shifting cultivation milpa systems in Yucatán, through weed control and manure application. Agric Ecosyst Environ 133:123–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009. 05.011
- Pereira EJG, Picanço MC, Bacci L et al (2007) Seasonal mortality factors of the coffee leafminer, *Leucoptera coffeella*. Bull Entomol Res 97:421–432. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485307005202
- Polhill RM (1968) Miscellaneous notes on african species of crotalaria L.: II. Kew Bulletin 22:169–348. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 4107767
- Postma JA, Lynch JP (2012) Complementarity in root architecture for nutrient uptake in ancient maize/bean and maize/bean/squash polycultures. Ann Bot 110:521–534. https://doi.org/10.1093/ aob/mcs082
- Pyke B, Rice M, Sabine B, Zalucki MP (1987) The push-pull strategy-behavioural control of *Heliothis*. Aust. Cotton Grow. 9:7-9. Retrieved from: http://www.push-pull.net/Push-Pull.pdf
- Ratnadass A, Rabo Y, Salha H et al (2012) *Gynandropsis gynandra* (Capparidaceae) citée pour la première fois comme hôte d'*Eurystylus* spp. (Hemiptera, Miridae). Bull Société Entomol Fr 117:115–118. https://doi.org/10.3406/bsef.2012.2650
- Ratnadass A, Zakari-Moussa O, Kadi-Kadi HA et al (2014) Potential of pigeon pea as a trap crop for control of fruit worm infestation and damage to okra. Agric for Entomol 16:426–433. https://doi. org/10.1111/afe.12072
- Reddy PP (2017) Intercropping. In: Reddy PP (ed) Agro-ecological approaches to pest management for sustainable agriculture. Springer, Singapore, pp 109–131
- Renwick LL, Kimaro AA, Hafner JM, et al (2020) Maize-pigeonpea intercropping outperforms monocultures under drought. Front Sustain Food Syst 4:562663. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020. 562663
- Ribeiro LK, Tokarski A, Rech C, et al (2020) New record of *Microtechnites bractatus* (Say) (Hemiptera: Miridae) infesting *Crotalaria* spp. and injuries of Miridae in cultivated plants in the State of Paraná, Brazil. Rev Bras Entomol 64:e20200027. https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9665-RBENT-2020-0027
- Rios-Velasco C, Gallegos-Morales G, Cambero-Campos J et al (2011) Natural Enemies of the Fall Armyworm *Spodoptera Frugiperda* (lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Coahuila, México. Fla Entomol 94:723–726. https://doi.org/10.1653/024.103.0414



- Ristaino JB, Anderson PK, Bebber DP, et al (2021) The persistent threat of emerging plant disease pandemics to global food security. Proc Natl Acad Sci 118:e2022239118. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.2022239118
- Rodingpuia C, Lalthanzara H (2021) An insight into black cutworm (*Agrotis ipsilon*): a glimpse on globally important crop pest. Sci Vis 21:36–42. https://doi.org/10.33493/scivis.21.02.02
- Rojas JC, Kolomiets MV, Bernal JS (2018) Nonsensical choices? Fall armyworm moths choose seemingly best or worst hosts for their larvae, but neonate larvae make their own choices. Plos One 13:e0197628. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197628
- Rosado M da C, Araújo GJ de, Pallini A, Venzon M (2021) Cover crop intercropping increases biological control in coffee crops. Biol Control 160:104675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol. 2021.104675
- Roy HE, Lawson Handley L-J, Schönrogge K et al (2011) Can the enemy release hypothesis explain the success of invasive alien predators and parasitoids? Biocontrol 56:451–468. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10526-011-9349-7
- Rugare JT, Pieterse PJ, Mabasa S (2021) Allelopathic potential of green manure cover crops on germination and early seedling development of goose grass [*Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn] and blackjack (*Bidens pilosa* L.). Int J Agron 2021:e6552928. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2021/6552928
- Rusinamhodzi L, Makoko B, Sariah J (2017) Ratooning pigeonpea in maize-pigeonpea intercropping: Productivity and seed cost reduction in eastern Tanzania. Field Crops Res 203:24–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.12.001
- Samberg LH, Gerber JS, Ramankutty N, et al (2016) Subnational distribution of average farm size and smallholder contributions to global food production. Environ Res Lett 11:124010. https://doi. org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/124010
- Saraiva NB, Prezoto F, Fonseca M, das G, et al (2017) The social wasp Polybia fastidiosuscula Saussure (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) uses herbivore-induced maize plant volatiles to locate its prey. J Appl Entomol 141:620–629. https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12378
- Savary S, Willocquet L, Pethybridge SJ et al (2019) The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nat Ecol Evol 3:430–439. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0793-y
- Saxena KB (2008) Genetic improvement of pigeon Pea a review. Trop Plant Biol 1:159–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12042-008-9014-1
- Scheidegger L, Niassy S, Midega C et al (2021) The role of *Desmo*dium intortum, Brachiaria sp. and Phaseolus vulgaris in the management of fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith) in maize cropping systems in Africa. Pest Manag Sci 77:2350–2357. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6261
- Schneider S (2014) Family farming in Latin America and the Caribbean. Deep Roots 1ed Roma 26–29
- Shamim Z, Razzaq H, Shahid MN, Awan MT (2021) Chapter 14 generation of new landraces of forage species: red fescue and clover. In: Azhar MT, Wani SH (eds) Wild germplasm for genetic improvement in crop plants. Academic Press, pp 259–268
- Shetty LJ, Sakr FM, Al-Obaidy K et al (2015) A brief review on medicinal plant *Tagetes erecta* Linn. J Appl Pharm Sci 5:091–095. https://doi.org/10.7324/JAPS.2015.510.S16
- Shiferaw B, Prasanna BM, Hellin J, Bänziger M (2011) Crops that feed the world 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. Food Secur 3:307–327. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-011-0140-5
- Sikuku PA, Musyimi DM, Kariuki S, Okello SV (2013) Responses of slenderleaf rattlebox (Crotalaria ochroleuca) to water deficit. JBES 3(12):245–252. https://innspub.net/responses-of-slend erleaf-rattlebox-crotalaria-ochroleuca-to-water-deficit/
- Silva BKR da, Sairre LAP de, Eugênio JL, et al (2022) A feasible sampling unit for monitoring *Chrysoperla* spp. eggs and their

potential in biological control on *Coffea arabica* L. Int J Pest Manag 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2022.2050834

- Silvestri S, Sabine D, Patti K et al (2015) Households and food security: lessons from food secure households in East Africa. Agric Food Secur 4:23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-015-0042-4
- Silwana TT, Lucas EO (2002) The effect of planting combinations and weeding on the growth and yield of component crops of maize/ bean and maize/pumpkin intercrops. J Agric Sci 138:193–200. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859601001861
- Sisay B, Simiyu J, Malusi P et al (2018) First report of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), natural enemies from Africa. J Appl Entomol 142:800–804. https://doi. org/10.1111/jen.12534
- Skinner EM, Díaz-Pérez JC, Phatak SC, et al (2012) Allelopathic effects of sunnhemp (*Crotalaria juncea* L.) on germination of vegetables and weeds. HortScience. 47:138–142. https://doi.org/ 10.21273/HORTSCI.47.1.138
- Sobhy IS, Tamiru A, Chiriboga Morales X et al (2022) Bioactive volatiles from push-pull companion crops repel fall armyworm and attract its parasitoids. Front Ecol Evol 10. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fevo.2022.883020
- Sokame BM, Subramanian S, Kilalo DC et al (2020) Larval dispersal of the invasive fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, the exotic stemborer *Chilo partellus*, and indigenous maize stemborers in Africa. Entomol Exp Appl 168:322–331. https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12899
- Sokame BM, Tonnang HEZ, Subramanian S et al (2021) A system dynamics model for pests and natural enemies interactions. Sci Rep 11:1401. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79553-y
- Sotelo-Cardona P, Chuang W-P, Lin M-Y et al (2021) Oviposition preference not necessarily predicts offspring performance in the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on vegetable crops. Sci Rep 11:15885. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-021-95399-4
- Southon RJ, Fernandes OA, Nascimento FS, Sumner S (2019) Social wasps are effective biocontrol agents of key lepidopteran crop pests. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 286:20191676. https://doi.org/10. 1098/rspb.2019.1676
- Staller JE (2021) Maize in Andean food and culture: interdisciplinary approaches. In: Staller JE (ed) Andean Foodways. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 283–310
- Storkey J, Bruce TJA, McMillan VE, Neve P (2019) The future of sustainable crop protection relies on increased diversity of cropping systems and landscapes. In: Agroecosystem Diversity. Elsevier, pp 199–209
- Suárez JC, Anzola JA, Contreras AT et al (2022) Influence of simultaneous intercropping of maize-bean with input of inorganic or organic fertilizer on growth, development, and dry matter partitioning to yield components of two lines of common bean. Agronomy 12:1216. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12051216
- Tann C (2011) Pigeon pea: living up to expectations as a refuge with Bollgard II® cotton in Australia? Outlooks Pest Manag 22:41– 43. https://doi.org/10.1564/22feb10
- Tavares WS, Cruz I, Silva RB et al (2011) Soil organisms associated to the weed suppressant *Crotalaria juncea* (Fabaceae) and its importance as a refuge for natural enemies. Planta Daninha 29:473–479. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582011000300001
- Tavares WS, Cruz I, Silva RB, et al (2012) Prey consumption and development of *Chrysoperla externa* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) eggs and larvae and *Anagasta kuehniella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) eggs. Maydica 56:Retrieved from: https://journals-crea.4science.it/ index.php/maydica/article/view/668
- Tay WT, Soria MF, Walsh T, et al (2013) A Brave New World for an Old World Pest: *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. Plos One 8:e80134. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0080134

- Timilsena B, Niassy S, Kimathi E et al (2022) Potential distribution of fall armyworm in Africa and beyond, considering climate change and irrigation patterns. Sci Rep 12:539. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-021-04369-3
- Tolosa TA, Tamiru A, Midega CAO et al (2019) Molasses grass induces direct and indirect defense responses in neighbouring maize plants. J Chem Ecol 45:982–992. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10886-019-01122-z
- Tripathi MK, Chaudhary B, Sarkar SK et al (2013) Performance of sunnhemp (crotalaria juncea l.) as a summer season (pre-monsoon) crop for fibre. J Agric Sci 5:236. https://doi.org/10.5539/ jas.v5n3p236
- Truong P, Van TT, Pinners E (2008) Vetiver system applications technical reference manual. Vetiver Netw Int 89:Retrieved from: https://www.vetiver.org/TVN-Manual_Vf.pdf
- Udayakumar A, Shivalingaswamy TM, Bakthavatsalam N (2021) Legume-based intercropping for the management of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* L. in maize. J Plant Dis Prot 128:775– 779. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-020-00401-2
- Uiso FC, Johns T (1996) Consumption patterns and nutritional contribution of Crotalaria Brevidens (Mitoo) in Tarime District, Tanzania. Ecol Food Nutrit 35:59–69. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03670244.1996.9991475
- Valenzuela H, Smith J (2002) Sustainable agriculture green manure crops. Trop Agric 2:1-3. Retrieved from: https://scholarspace. manoa.hawaii.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/b59e4c12-c74e-4b61-91fb-1f983b70db27/content
- Van den Berg J (2006a) Oviposition preference and larval survival of *Chilo partellus (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)* on Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) trap crops. Int J Pest Manag 52:39–44. https:// doi.org/10.1080/09670870600552653
- van den Berg J (2006b) Vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash) as trap plant for *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and *Busseola fusca* (Fuller) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ann Société Entomol Fr NS 42:449–454. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00379271.2006.10697478
- Van den Berg J, De BAJM, Van HH (2006) Oviposition preference and survival of the maize stem borer, *Busseola fusca* (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae), on Napier grasses, *Pennisetum* spp., and maize. African Entomology 14:211–218. https://doi.org/10.10520/ EJC32700
- Van Rheenen HA, Hasselbach OE, Muigai SGS (1981) The effect of growing beans together with maize on the incidence of bean diseases and pests. Neth J Plant Pathol 87:193–199. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF01976985

- Vanni RO (2001) El Género Desmodium (leguminosae, Desmodieae) En Argentina. Darwiniana 39:255-285. Retrieved from: https:// www.jstor.org/stable/23224221
- Varón de Agudelo F, Rodríguez-Chalarca J, Villalobos-Saa JC, Parody-Restrepo J (2022) Manual de enfermedades y plagas del maíz. Advanta Seed International
- Vasey RA, Scholes JD, Press MC (2005) Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) Is susceptible to the parasitic angiosperm *Striga hermonthica*, a major cereal pathogen in Africa. Phytopathology 95:1294–1300. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-95-1294
- Villordo-Pineda E, González-Chavira MM, Giraldo-Carbajo P et al (2015) Identification of novel drought-tolerant associated SNPs in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris). Front Plant Sci 6:546. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00546
- Wang K-H, Sipes BS, Schmitt DP (2002) Crotalaria as a cover crop for nematode management: a review. Nematropica:35–58
- Weinberger K, Pichop GN (2009) Marketing of African indigenous vegetables along urban and peri-urban supply chains in sub-Saharan Africa. In: African indigenous vegetables in urban agriculture. Routledge, pp 257–276
- Wyckhuys KAG, O'Neil RJ (2006) Population dynamics of Spodoptera frugiperda Smith (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and associated arthropod natural enemies in Honduran subsistence maize. Crop Prot 25:1180–1190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2006.03.003
- Wyckhuys KAG, O'Neil RJ (2010) Social and ecological facets of pest management in Honduran subsistence agriculture: implications for IPM extension and natural resource management. Environ Dev Sustain 12:297–311. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10668-009-9195-2
- Wyckhuys KAG, O'Neil RJ (2007) Local agro-ecological knowledge and its relationship to farmers' pest management decision making in rural Honduras. Agric Hum Values 24:307–321. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10460-007-9068-y
- Zhang C, Postma JA, York LM, Lynch JP (2014) Root foraging elicits niche complementarity-dependent yield advantage in the ancient 'three sisters' (maize/bean/squash) polyculture. Ann Bot 114:1719–1733. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu191
- Zizumbo-Villarreal D, Colunga-GarcíaMarín P (2010) Origin of agriculture and plant domestication in West Mesoamerica. Genet Resour Crop Evol 57:813–825. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10722-009-9521-4

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

